

5 IDENTIFICATION MEASURES – RELIANCE ON OBLIGED PERSONS

5.1 Overview

1. In some strictly limited cases, a *supervised person* may meet its obligation to comply with Article 13(1)(a) or (c)(ii) (*CDD*); Article 15(1)(a), (b), (d), (e) or (g) (*Enhanced CDD measures*); or Article 15A (*Enhanced CDD Measures in relation to PEPs*) of the *Money Laundering Order*, and *AML/CFT Codes of Practice* by placing reliance on measures that have already been applied by an *obliged person* to find out the identity of a mutual *customer* and to obtain evidence of identity.
2. In order to consider what reliance might be placed on an *obliged person*, a *supervised person* will first need to determine what elements of identity must be found out and what evidence of identity is to be obtained for its *customer*. It will do so in accordance with Article 3 of the *Money Laundering Order* and the *AML/CFT Codes of Practice* set out in Sections 3, 4 and 7, and will also take into account its risk assessment for the *customer*. Once it has determined what *identification measures* it is to apply, a *supervised person* can then consider whether those measures have already been applied by an *obliged person*.
3. Where an *obliged person* has met its *customer*, who is resident in the same country or territory as the *obliged person*, the measures that it has taken to find out identity and to obtain evidence of identity will be different to the *identification measures* that must be applied by the *supervised person* in a case where the *supervised person* is resident in a different country or territory to the *obliged person* and *customer*, and where it has not met its *customer*. Even in a case where the *supervised person* and *obliged person* have met a *customer* and are resident in the same country or territory, the measures taken by the *obliged person* may still differ to those to be applied by the *supervised person* to the extent that other factors are different, for example the nature of the product or service to be provided.
4. The effect of this is that the *obliged person* may not have found out all of the same information on identity as the *supervised person* needs, and may have obtained evidence of identity using different documents, data or information. This means that, in practice, the scope to place reliance may sometimes be quite limited, and that it may be necessary for a *supervised person* to find out more information on identity and obtain evidence for that aspect of identity itself.
5. However, it is not necessary for the *obliged person* to have found out identity or obtained evidence of identity exactly in line with policies and procedures applied by the *supervised person*, since guidance notes in Section 4 provide that there are different ways in which to apply *identification measures*. Also, where the *obliged person* is outside Jersey, different requirements and guidance will be applicable.
6. Where an *obliged person* meets the requirements outlined in Article 16 of the *Money Laundering Order*, a *supervised person* is permitted to place reliance on the *obliged person* to have found out the identity and to have obtained evidence of the identity of:

the supervised person's customer

any beneficial owner or controller of that customer

any third party for which that customer is acting

Deleted: :

Deleted: Please Note:¶

Regulatory requirements are set within this section as *AML/CFT Codes of Practice*.¶

This section contains references to Jersey legislation which may be accessed through the JFSC website.¶

Where terms appear in the Glossary this is highlighted by the use of italic text. The Glossary is available from the JFSC website. ...

Deleted: of Section

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: ,)

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: that

Deleted: will

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: provides

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: (i) the relevant person's customer; (ii)

Moved down [1]: any beneficial owner or controller of that customer

Deleted: ; (iii)

Moved down [2]: any third party for which that customer is acting

Deleted: ; (iv)

Moved down [3]: any beneficial owner or controller of a third party for whom that customer is acting

Deleted: ; and/or (v)

Moved down [4]: any person purporting to act on behalf of that customer.

Moved (insertion) [1]

Moved (insertion) [2]

any beneficial owner or controller of a third party for whom that customer is acting
any person purporting to act on behalf of that customer.

7. It is not possible to place reliance on an *obliged person* to obtain information on the purpose and intended nature of a *business relationship* or *one-off transaction*, nor to apply on-going monitoring during a *business relationship*.

8. Set out below is a table summarising the aspects of *CDD* that, in the absence of other provisions, the *supervised person* must undertake itself:

	<u>Always required</u>
	<u>Article 16(2) allows reliance upon an <i>obliged person</i></u>

<u>CDD</u>	<u>Identification measures</u>	<u>Risk assessment</u>	
		<u>ID customer</u>	
		<u>ID third parties</u>	
		<u>ID person acting for customer</u>	<u>Verify authority to act</u>
		<u>Where customer not individual:</u>	<u>Understand ownership/control structure</u>
			<u>ID beneficial owners/controllers</u>
	<u>On-going monitoring</u>	<u>Obtain information on purpose/nature</u>	
		<u>Scrutinising transactions/activity</u>	
		<u>Keep documents/information up-to-date</u>	

9. Further, Article 16 of the Money Laundering Order cannot be applied in any case where:

a supervised person suspects money laundering or the financing of terrorism

a supervised person considers that there is a higher risk of *money laundering* or the *financing of terrorism* on the basis of a risk assessment carried out under Article 16(4) of the *Money Laundering Order* (see Section 5.1.1) or

the *obliged person* has a relevant connection to an *enhanced risk state* (see Section 7.5).

10. Whilst the information on **identity found out** by the *obliged person* must be provided to the supervised person immediately before establishing a *business relationship* or carrying out a *one-off transaction*, a supervised person is not also required to immediately obtain **evidence of identity**. Evidence of identity may be held by an *obliged person*, so long as the supervised person is satisfied that the *obliged person* will provide the evidence that it holds on request and without delay. However, it is not uncommon for evidence of identity to be called for at the same time as information on identity is provided by the *obliged person*.

11. Examples of *obliged persons* include, but are not limited to:

an investment advisor who arranges for a *customer* to invest in a financial product provided by a supervised person, where the investment is to be held in the name of the *customer* and not that of the investment advisor

a trust company business who establishes a bank or investment account for a client company, trust or foundation

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶
Part 1: Section 5 – Identification Measures: Reliance on obliged persons¶

Moved (insertion) [3]

Moved (insertion) [4]

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: , in any case where a relevant

Deleted:), or where the *obliged person* has a relevant connection to a country or territory that is subject to a FATF call to apply enhanced *CDD* measures (see Section 7.5).

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: Inter alia, an

Deleted: may

Deleted: :

Deleted: Effective from: 31 May 2021

a law firm that is a supervised person carrying on specified Schedule 2 business

an accountancy firm that is a supervised person carrying on specified Schedule 2 business.

12. A supervised person will remain responsible for the satisfactory performance of all elements of reliance identification measures. As noted in the Glossary above, in this Handbook reliance identification measures has the meaning set out in Article 16(1) of the Money Laundering Order.

13. However, where the measures taken by a supervised person are reasonable, it will have a defence should the obliged person fail to have performed satisfactory measures.

14. Outsourcing arrangements are not included within the scope of this section, as these are distinct from circumstances in which reliance is placed on an obliged person. In an outsourcing arrangement, the customer will have a direct relationship with a supervised person and not with the provider of the outsourced services. Although the provider of the outsourced services may have substantial contact with the customer, the customer is a customer of the supervised person and not of the provider of the outsourced services. The provider of the outsourced services will be carrying on the outsourced activity for the supervised person according to the terms of a contract with the supervised person. An example of a typical outsourcing arrangement is where a trustee of a collective investment scheme outsources the management of the scheme to an external party.

15. Where information on identity found out or evidence of that identity is passed by an obliged person to a supervised person in order to comply with requirements to counter money laundering and the financing of terrorism, the Data Protection (Jersey) Law 2018 restricts the use of the information to that purpose, except where another condition for processing personal data applies.

16. A customer may be an individual (or group of individuals) or legal person. Section 4.3 of this Handbook deals with a customer who is an individual (or group of individuals), Section 4.4 deals with a customer (an individual or legal person) who is acting for a legal arrangement, and Section 4.5 deals with a customer who is a legal person. The Glossary above provides a definition of customer for the purposes of this Handbook.

17. Under Article 16(1) of the Money Laundering Order, in this section “customer of the obliged person” means:

a customer of the obliged person,

a beneficial owner or controller of that customer,

a third party for whom that customer is acting

a beneficial owner or controller of a third party for whom that customer is acting

a person purporting to act on behalf of that customer.

Statutory requirements (paraphrased wording)

18. In some strictly limited circumstances, Article 16(2) of the Money Laundering Order provides that a relevant person may be considered to have applied the reliance identification measures where such measures have already been applied by an obliged person. Obligated person means a person who the relevant person knows or has reasonable grounds for believing is:

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶
Part 1: Section 5 – Identification Measures: Reliance on obliged persons¶

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: .

Deleted: <#>a trust and company services provider.¶

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: Under Article 16 of the Money Laundering Order

Deleted: section “

Deleted: ” means:

Deleted: <#>The identification measures specified in Article 3(2)(a), (aa), (b) or (c) of the Money Laundering Order; or¶

<#>If the obliged person is not in Jersey, similar identification measures that the obliged person applies that satisfy Recommendation 10 of the FATF Recommendations.¶

Deleted: <#>relevant

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: but

Deleted: delegate carrying on

Deleted: activity

Deleted: delegate

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: delegate.

Deleted: delegate

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: Data Protection (Jersey) Law 2018

Deleted: <#>Throughout this section, references to “customer” include, where appropriate, a prospective customer (an applicant for business). A customer is a person with whom a business relationship has been formed or one-off transaction conducted.¶

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ; or

Deleted:

Deleted: specified in Article 3(2)(a), (b) and (c)

Deleted: Effective from: 31 May 2021

- › a relevant person in respect of whom the Commission discharges supervisory functions that is overseen for AML/CFT compliance in Jersey.
- › a person who carries on equivalent business (refer to Section 1.8).

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶
Part 1: Section 5 – Identification Measures: Reliance on obliged persons¶

Deleted:; or

Deleted: 7

19. Reliance must always be subject to a number of conditions.

20. The **first condition** (Article 16(2)(a) of the Money Laundering Order) is that the obliged person consents to being relied upon.

21. The **second condition** (Article 16(4) of the Money Laundering Order) is that identification measures have been applied by the obliged person in the course of an established business relationship or one-off transaction.

22. The **third condition** (Article 16(4)(a), (b), (c) and (d) of the Money Laundering Order) is that the relevant person obtains adequate assurance in writing that the obliged person:

- › has applied reliance identification measures in relation to the customer
- › has not itself relied upon another party to have applied any reliance identification measures
- › has not, in reliance on any provision in Part 3A (or if the obliged person is not in Jersey, a provision of similar effect), applied measures that are less than equivalent to the reliance identification measures
- › is required to keep, and does keep, evidence of the identification as described in Article 3(4)(b) of the Money Laundering Order relating to each of the obliged person's customers, including a record of such evidence.

Deleted:; (

Deleted:; (

Deleted:;

Deleted: of

Deleted:;

Deleted:; and

23. The **fourth condition** (Article 16(2)(b) of the Money Laundering Order) is that the obliged person immediately provides the relevant person with the information obtained from applying the reliance identification measures.

Deleted:;

24. To the extent that reliance is placed on an obliged person to keep hold of the evidence obtained under reliance identification measures, the **fifth condition** (Article 16(5) of the Money Laundering Order) is that the relevant person obtains adequate assurance in writing that the obliged person will:

- › keep that evidence until the evidence has been provided to the relevant person, or until notification is received from the relevant person that the evidence is no longer required to be kept.
- › provide that evidence to the relevant person at its request, and without delay.

Deleted:

Deleted:; and

25. The **sixth condition** (Article 16(3) of the Money Laundering Order) is that, immediately before placing reliance, the relevant person assesses the risk of placing reliance and makes a written record as to the reason why it is appropriate for it to place reliance on the obliged person, having regard to:

- › the higher risk of money laundering or the financing of terrorism should the obliged person fail to carry out any action specified in the assurances obtained under Paragraphs 22 and 24 above
- › the risk that an obliged person will fail to provide the relevant person with evidence without delay if requested to do so by the relevant person. See [Section 5.1.1](#) below.

Deleted: (i)

Deleted:; and (ii)

26. Under Article 16(8) of the Money Laundering Order a relevant person who relies on an obliged person under this Article must conduct tests in such manner and at such intervals as the relevant person considers appropriate in all the circumstances in order to establish whether:

Deleted: Effective from: 31 May 2021

- › the obliged person has appropriate and consistent policies and procedures in place to apply reliance identification measures
- › if the obliged person has not already provided the evidence to the relevant person, the obliged person does keep the evidence *they have* obtained during the course of applying reliance identification measures in respect of a person
- › the obliged person will provide that evidence without delay if requested to do so.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers ¶
Part 1: Section 5 – Identification Measures: Reliance on obliged persons ¶

Deleted:;

Deleted: he has

Deleted:; and

27. Under Article 16(8)(c) of the Money Laundering Order, testing should take into consideration whether *the obliged person* may be prevented, by application of law, from providing information or evidence, e.g. secrecy legislation.

Deleted: a customer

28. If, as a result of carrying out any such test, a relevant person is not satisfied that the obliged person has appropriate and consistent policies and procedures in place, keep evidence, or will provide it without delay if requested to do so, in that particular case, Article 16(9) of the Money Laundering Order requires the relevant person to apply reliance identification measures immediately.

29. Article 16(6)(a) of the Money Laundering Order provides that a written assurance will be adequate if it is reasonably capable of being regarded as reliable and a relevant person is satisfied that it is reliable.

30. Article 16(6)(b) of the Money Laundering Order provides that written assurances may be provided each time that reliance is placed or through a more general arrangement with an obliged person that has an element of duration, e.g. terms of business.

31. Article 16(7) states that a relevant person (including a person who was formerly a relevant person) who has given an assurance to another person under Article 16(5) (or under an equivalent provision that applies outside Jersey) must, if requested by the other person, provide the person with the evidence obtained from applying the reliance identification measures.

32. Article 16(11) of the Money Laundering Order states that nothing in this Article permits a relevant person to rely on the reliance identification measures of an obliged person if:

- › the relevant person suspects money laundering or the financing of terrorism
- › the relevant person considers that there is a higher risk of money laundering on the basis of the assessment made under Article 16(3) of the Money Laundering Order
- › the obliged person is a person having a relevant connection with an enhanced risk state (within the meaning of Article 15 of the Money Laundering Order).

Deleted:;

Deleted:; or

33. Notwithstanding that reliance may be placed on an obliged person, Article 16(10) of the Money Laundering Order states that a relevant person is liable for any failure to apply reliance identification measures.

Deleted:

AML/CFT Codes of Practice

34. To the extent that reliance is placed on an obliged person, a *supervised* person must be able to demonstrate that the conditions required by the Money Laundering Order are met.

Deleted: relevant

35. All evidence of identity passed by the obliged person to a *supervised* person (on request) must be confirmed by the obliged person as being a true copy of either an original or copy document held on its file.

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: Effective from: 31 May 2021

Guidance notes

Assurance in writing about *reliance identification measures*

36. A *supervised person* may demonstrate that it has obtained adequate assurance in writing from an *obliged person* under Article 16(4)(a) of the Money Laundering Order that it has applied *reliance identification measures* to the *customer*, where the *obliged person*:
provides information on **identity** that it has **found out** using an information template and
explains what **evidence of identity** it has obtained.
37. An assurance that addresses the matters listed in Paragraph 36 above will be considered to be reasonably capable of being regarded as reliable under Article 16(6)(a) of the Money Laundering Order.
38. As stated at Article 16(4)(b) of the *Money Laundering Order* and referenced in the *statutory requirements* section above, a *supervised person* must not rely on an *obliged person* who is in turn relying on someone else (also known as a chain of reliance).
39. Where, as a result of Article 16(6)(b) of the Money Laundering Order, a *supervised person* has a more general arrangement with an *obliged person*, such as terms of business, that more general arrangement may be used to explain what **evidence of identity** will routinely be obtained by the obliged person.

Access to evidence of identity

40. A *supervised person* will have demonstrated that an *obliged person* is providing evidence of identity without delay if it is provided within **two working days**. If it is provided later than **five working days**, it is not provided without delay. If it is provided **between two and five working days**, the *supervised person* must be able to show why this constitutes provision without delay based on the nature of its *customer* base. In order to demonstrate that it has adequately assessed a delay, the *supervised person* is expected to provide detail of the reasons for the delay, how many days evidence remained outstanding, how many times a delay has occurred previously across the *supervised person's* practice, as well as the Board/senior management's considerations.

5.1.1 Assessment of Risk

Overview

41. The risk factors that are set out in this section will also be relevant to a *customer* risk assessment that is conducted under Section 3.3.4.1 in the cases highlighted at Section 4.4 and Section 4.5.

Statutory requirements (paraphrased wording)

42. *Before relying upon the obliged person, the relevant person must assess the risk of doing so and make a written record of the reasons the relevant person considers that it is appropriate to do so, having regard to two risks.*

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶
Part 1: Section 5 – Identification Measures: Reliance on obliged persons¶

Deleted: *relevant*

Deleted: , such as that published in Appendix C;

Deleted: *relevant*

Deleted: *relevant*

Deleted: entity

Deleted: client

Deleted: the reasons for the

Deleted: *relevant*

Deleted: as to what led to

Deleted: *relevant*

Deleted: decision-makers'

Deleted: Sections

Deleted:

Deleted: Effective from: 31 May 2021

43. The **first** is the higher risk of money laundering or the financing of terrorism should an obliged person fail to carry out any actions specified in the assurances obtained under Articles 16(4) and (5) of the Money Laundering Order.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶
Part 1: Section 5 – Identification Measures: Reliance on obliged persons¶

44. The **second** is the risk that an obliged person will fail to provide the relevant person with evidence without delay if requested to do so by the relevant person.

Deleted:

45. Article 16(3) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to prepare a written record of the reason why it is appropriate to place reliance on an obliged person.

AML/CFT Code of Practice

46. In a case where, for a particular *Business Relationship*, testing under Articles 16(8) and (9) of the Money Laundering Order highlights that an *obliged person*:

Moved down [5]: AML/CFT Codes of Practice¶

has not applied the necessary *reliance identification measures*

Deleted: (i)

does not provide adequate, accurate and current information

Deleted: (ii)

does not keep evidence of identity for as long as is necessary or

Deleted: (iii)

will not provide that evidence without delay when requested to do so,

Deleted:;

a *supervised person* must review the basis upon which it has placed reliance on that *obliged person* for other relationships (if any) in order to determine whether it is still appropriate to do so.

Deleted: (iv)

Deleted: relevant

Guidance notes

47. Immediately before relying upon an *obliged person*, a *supervised person* may demonstrate that it has had regard for the higher risk of money laundering and the financing of terrorism, and risk that an *obliged person* will fail to provide the *supervised person* with evidence of identity without delay if requested to do so, where it considers the following factors:

Deleted: relevant

the stature and regulatory track record of the *obliged person*

Deleted: the

Deleted: relevant

the risks posed by the country/territory in which the *obliged person* is based. Factors to consider include those found at Section 3.3.4.1 of this Handbook

Deleted:;

Deleted: or

Deleted:;

the adequacy of the framework to combat money laundering and the financing of terrorism in place in the country/territory in which the *obliged person* is based and the period of time that the framework has been in place

Deleted: or

Deleted:;

the adequacy of the supervisory regime to combat money laundering and the financing of terrorism to which the *obliged person* is subject

Deleted:;

the adequacy of identification measures applied by the *obliged person* to combat money laundering and the financing of terrorism.

48. A *supervised person* may demonstrate that it has considered the adequacy of *identification measures* applied by an *obliged person* where it takes one or more of the following steps:

Deleted: relevant

reviews previous experience (if any) with the *obliged person*, in particular the adequacy and accuracy of information on identity found out by the *obliged person* and whether that information is current

Deleted:;

Deleted: Effective from: 31 May 2021

makes specific enquiries, e.g. through use of a questionnaire or series of questions

reviews relevant policies and procedures to combat money laundering and the financing of terrorism in place at the obliged person

where the *obliged person* is a member of a *financial group*, makes enquiries concerning the extent to which group standards are applied to and assessed by the group's internal audit function.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶
Part 1: Section 5 – Identification Measures: Reliance on obliged persons¶

Deleted:;

Deleted:;

5.2 Group Reliance

Overview

49. In some strictly limited cases, a *supervised person* may meet its obligation to comply with Article 13(1)(a) or (c)(ii) (CDD); Article 15(1)(a), (b), (d), (e) or (g) (Enhanced CDD Measures); or Article 15A (Enhanced CDD Measures in relation to PEPs) of the Money Laundering Order, and the AML/CFT Codes of Practice by placing reliance on similar identification measures that have already been applied by a party outside Jersey who is a member of the same financial group as the supervised person, but is not also an obliged person.

50. The effect of Article 16A of the Money Laundering Order is therefore to extend the application of Article 16 to an 'external person' who could not otherwise be relied on, and the six conditions and provisions for testing outlined in Section 5.1 apply to an external person in the same way as to an *obliged person*.

51. Under the definitions provided in Article 16A(1) of the Money Laundering Order, in this section 'external person' means a person outside Jersey, who:

is not an obliged person

is a member of the same financial group as the supervised person and

carries on a business which, if that business were carried on in Jersey, would be a supervised business.

Statutory requirements (paraphrased wording)

52. In some strictly limited circumstances, Article 16A of the Money Laundering Order provides that a relevant person may be considered to have applied similar identification measures specified in Article 3(2)(a), (aa), (b) and (c) of the Money Laundering Order where such measures have already been applied by an external person.

53. Under Article 16A(2)(c-f) of the Money Laundering Order, in order to place reliance on an external person, the financial group to which the relevant person and external person belong must:

- apply CDD measures and record-keeping requirements in line with the Money Laundering Order or in line with FATF Recommendations 10, 11 and 12

Deleted: Effective from: 31 May 2021

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶
Part 1: Section 5 – Identification Measures: Reliance on obliged persons¶

- › maintain a programme against money laundering and the financing of terrorism which includes policies and procedures by which every member of the group who carries on a financial services business (or equivalent) shares information that is appropriate for the purpose of preventing and detecting money laundering and the financing of terrorism (an AML/CFT programme)
- › adequately mitigate any higher risk of money laundering and the financing of terrorism through its policies and procedures
- › be supervised by an overseas regulatory authority in its implementation of CDD measures and record-keeping requirements, and its AML/CFT programme.

54. Article 16(A)(2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) of the Money Laundering Order states that reliance is always subject to a number of conditions. These are outlined at Paragraphs 20 to 25 above, where references to “obliged person” should be read as referring to “external person”.

55. Articles 16(A)(7) and (8) of the Money Laundering Order state that reliance must always be subject to testing. Provisions in this respect are outlined at Paragraphs 26 to 28 above, where references to “obliged person” should be read as referring to “external person”.

56. Article 1(5) of the Money Laundering Order explains that a person is a member of the same financial group as another person if there is, in relation to the group, a parent company or other legal person that exercises control over every member of that group for the purposes of applying group supervision under:

- › the Core Principles for Effective Banking Supervision published by the Basel Committee
- › the Objectives and Principles for Securities Regulation issued by IOSCO or
- › the Insurance Supervisory Principles issued by the IAIS.

AML/CFT Codes of Practice

Moved (insertion) [5]

57. A supervised person may not rely on an ‘external person’ where it suspects money laundering or the financing of terrorism, considers that there is a higher risk of money laundering or the financing of terrorism on the basis of a risk assessment carried out under Article 16(3) of the Money Laundering Order, or where the external person has a relevant connection to an enhanced risk state.

58. Despite a supervised person’s reliance on an ‘external person’ under Article 16(A)(9) of the Money Laundering Order, a supervised person is liable for any failure to apply similar identification measures.

Deleted: Effective from: 31 May 2021