# 6 ONGOING MONITORING – SCRUTINY OF TRANSACTIONS & ACTIVITY

## 6.1 Overview

1. This section outlines the statutory provisions concerning on-going monitoring. On-going monitoring consists of:

scrutinising transactions undertaken throughout the course of a business relationship and

keeping documents, data or information up-to-date and relevant.

- 2. The obligation to monitor a business relationship finishes at the time that it is terminated. In a case where a relationship has been terminated, but where payment for a service remains outstanding, a supervised person will still need to consider reporting provisions summarised in Section 8 of this Handbook. For example where there is suspicion that payment for the service is made out of the proceeds of criminal conduct.
- 3. This section explains the measures required to demonstrate compliance with the requirement to scrutinise transactions and sets a requirement to scrutinise *customer* activity.
- The requirement to keep documents, data or information up-to-date and relevant is covered at Section 3.4 of this Handbook.

## 6.2 Obligation to perform on-going monitoring

#### Statutory requirements (paraphrased wording)

- 5. Article 3(3) of the Money Laundering Order sets out what on-going monitoring is to involve:
- scrutinising transactions undertaken throughout the course of a business relationship to ensure that the transactions being conducted are consistent with the relevant person's knowledge of the customer, including the customer's business and risk profile. See Article 3(3)(a) of the Money Laundering Order
- > keeping documents, data or information up\_to\_date and relevant by undertaking reviews of existing records, particularly in relation to higher risk categories of customers. See Article 3(3)(b) of the Money Laundering Order.
- Article 13 of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to apply on-going monitoring throughout the course of a business relationship.
- 7. Article 11 of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to maintain appropriate and consistent policies and procedures for the application of CDD measures, having regard to the degree of risk of money laundering and the financing of terrorism. The policies and procedures referred to include those:
- which provide for the identification and scrutiny of:

Deleted: on-going monitoring:

Deleted: ¶

lease Note:

Regulatory requirements are set within this section as <u>AML/CFT</u> <u>Codes of Practice</u>.¶

This section contains references to Jersey legislation which may be accessed through the JFSC website.¶

Where terms appear in the Glossary this is highlighted by the use of italic text. The Glossary is available from the JFSC website.

Deleted: of section

Deleted: ;

Deleted: relevant

**Deleted:** , e.g

Deleted: a

Deleted: also

Deleted: and
Deleted: discussed

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: (1)

Deleted: establish and

Deleted:

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Part 1: Section 6 - On-going monitoring: Scrutiny of transactions & activity¶ a. complex or unusually large transactions b. unusual patterns of transactions, which have no apparent economic or lawful purpose or Deleted:: c. any other activity, the nature of which causes the relevant person to regard it as particularly likely to be related to money laundering or the financing of terrorism. Deleted: the risk of > which determine whether: a. business relationships or transactions are with a person connected with a country or territory in relation to which the FATF has called for the application of enhanced CDD measures or Deleted:: <u>b.</u> business relationships or transactions are with a person: i. subject to measures under law applicable in Jersey for the prevention and detection of money laundering Deleted: ii. connected with an organization that is subject to such measures or Deleted: iii. connected with a country or territory that is subject to such measures. 8. Article 11(3A) of the Money Laundering Order explains that, for the purposes of Article 11(3)(a), Deleted: 1 "scrutiny" includes scrutinising the background and purpose of transactions and activities. 6.2.1 Scrutiny of transactions and activity Overview 9. Scrutiny may be considered as two separate, but complimentary processes. Deleted:: 10. Firstly, a *supervised* person monitors all customer transactions and activity in order to Deleted: relevant recognise notable transactions or activity, i.e. those that: are inconsistent with the supervised person's knowledge of the customer (unusual transactions Deleted: relevant or activty) Deleted: activity); are complex or unusually large Deleted: ; form part of an unusual pattern or Deleted: present a higher risk of money laundering or the financing of terrorism. Deleted:, including the background and purpose of such transactions and activity 11. Secondly, such notable transactions and activity, including their background and purpose, are then examined by an appropriate person. Deleted: Deleted: set 12. In addition to the scrutiny of transactions as required by the Money Laundering Order, Deleted: relevant AML/CFT Codes of Practice in this section <u>also</u> require a <u>supervised</u> person to scrutinise Deleted: also customer activity. This is not just relevant to transaction-based business relationships, but also to business relationships that do not involve transactions, e.g. where a supervised person Deleted: (though this will already be the effect of policies and procedures required by Article 11(3)( gives investment advice, or acts as a director to a company. Deleted: )(iii) of the Money Laundering Order). 13. A *supervised person* must therefore, as a part of its **scrutiny** of transactions/activity, establish Deleted: relevant appropriate procedures to monitor all of its customers' transactions/activity, and to Deleted: and recognise and examine notable transactions/activity.

Deleted: and

- 14. Sections 3 and 4 of this Handbook address the capturing of sufficient information about a customer, allowing a supervised person to record a customer business and risk profile which provides a basis for recognising notable transactions, activity, which may indicate money laundering or the financing of terrorism.
- 15. Additional or more frequent monitoring is required for relationships that have been designated as carrying a higher risk of money laundering or the financing of terrorism.
- 16. With reference to what has been recorded in the customer business and risk profile, unusual transactions/activity, unusually large transactions/activity, and unusual patterns of transactions/activity may be recognised where transactions or activity are inconsistent with the expected pattern of transactions

the expected activity for a particular customer or

the normal business activities for the type of <u>product or</u> service that is being delivered.

- 17. Where a <u>supervised</u> person's customer base is homogeneous, and where the <u>products and</u> services provided to customers result in uniform patterns of transactions or activity, it may be <u>easier</u> to establish parameters to identify usual transactions/activity. For example when <u>dealing with local property transactions being passed before the Royal Court or undertaking deposit-taking activities.</u>
- 18. Where each customer is unique, and where the product or service is bespoke, a supervised person will need to tailor monitoring systems to the nature of its business and facilitate the application of additional judgement and experience to the recognition of unusual transactions and activity.
- 19. For some *customers*, additional information may only become evident **during** the course of the *business relationship* (i.e. whilst acting for the *customer*), leading to a revised profile and risk assessment. This requires particular diligence and care when updating documents, data or information and when scrutinising and monitoring *customer* activity and transactions. In these cases, appropriate staff training in the recognition of unusual transactions and activity is vital, as are relevant *systems and controls*.
- 20. Higher risk transactions (activity may be recognised by developing a set of 'red flags' or indicators which may indicate money laundering or the financing of terrorism, based on a <u>supervised</u> person's understanding of its business, products and <u>customers</u> (i.e. the outcome of its business risk assessment Section 2.3.1).
- 21. Complex transactions/activity may be recognised by developing a set of indicators, based on a <u>supervised</u> person's understanding of its business, its products and its <u>customers</u> (i.e. the outcome of its business risk assessment—Section 2.3.1).
- 22. External data sources and media reports <u>may</u> also assist with the identification of notable transactions and activity.
- 23. Where notable transactions or activity are recognised, they will need to be examined. The purpose of this examination is to determine whether there is an apparent economic or visible lawful purpose for the transactions or activity. It is not necessary (nor will it be possible) to conclude with certainty that a transaction or activity has an economic or lawful purpose. Sometimes, it may be possible to make such a determination on the basis of an existing customer business and risk profile and on occasion this examination will involve requesting additional information from a customer.

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶

→Part 1: Section 6 - On-going monitoring: Scrutiny of transactions & activity¶

Deleted: that will allow

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: prepare and

Deleted: will provide

Deleted: or

Deleted:

Deleted: Unusual

Deleted: or

Deleted: or Deleted: or

Deleted: or

Deleted:

Deleted: with

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: homogenous

**Deleted:** e.g. local property transactions passed before the Royal Court, it will

Deleted: more straightforward

Deleted: and unusual

Deleted: However,

Deleted: provided

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: or

Deleted: "

Deleted: flags"

Deleted: relevant

**Deleted:** its

Deleted: its

Deleted: or Deleted: relevant

Deleted: will

Deleted: such transactions or activity

Deleted: recognised

Deleted: , but

Deleted: occasions

- 24. Notable transactions or activity may indicate *money laundering* or the *financing of terrorism* where there is no apparent economic or visible lawful purpose for the transaction or activity, i.e. they are no longer just unusual, but may also be suspicious. **Reporting** of knowledge, suspicion, or reas onable grounds for knowledge or suspicion of *money laundering* or the *financing of terrorism* is addressed in Section 8 of this Handbook.
- 25. Scrutiny may involve both real time and post event monitoring. Real time monitoring will focus on transactions and activity when information or instructions are received from a customer, before or as the instruction is processed. Post event monitoring may involve end of day, weekly, monthly or annual reviews of customer transactions and activity. Real time monitoring of transactions and activity will more effectively reduce a supervised person's exposure to money laundering and the financing of terrorism. Post event monitoring may be more effective at identifying unusual patterns.
- 26. Monitoring may involve manual and automated procedures. Automated monitoring procedures may add value to manual procedures by recognising transactions or activity that fall outside set parameters. This will be particularly so where a supervised person processes large volumes of customer transactions which are not subject to day-to-day oversight. However, where automated monitoring procedures are not in place, monitoring is likely to be most effective when undertaken on a case-by-case basis by customer facing staff, administration and accounts staff, whom may be expected to spot and highlight notable transactions or activity.
- 27. The **examination** of notable transactions or activity may <u>also</u> be conducted either by *customer* facing employees, or by an independent reviewer. In any case, the examiner must have access to all *customer* records.
- 28. The results of an examination should be recorded and <a href="mailto:appropriate">appropriate</a> action taken. Refer to Section 10 of this Handbook for record-keeping requirements in relation to the examination of notable transactions and activity.
- 29. In order to **recognise** money laundering and the financing of terrorism, employees will need to have a good level of awareness of both, and to have received training. Refer to Section 9 of this Handbook for raising of awareness and training.
- 30. Where on-going monitoring indicates possible money laundering or the financing of terrorism activity, an internal SAR must be made to the MLRO. Reporting of knowledge, suspicion, or reasonable grounds for knowledge or suspicion, of money laundering and the financing of terrorism is addressed in Section 8 of this Handbook.

#### AML/CFT Codes of Practice

- 31. In addition to the **scrutiny** of **transactions**, on-going monitoring must also involve **scrutinising activity** in respect of a business relationship to ensure that the activity is consistent with the <u>supervised</u> person's knowledge of the *customer*, including the *customer*'s business and risk profile.
- 32. A <u>supervised</u> person must establish and maintain appropriate and consistent <u>policies and</u> procedures which provide for the **identification** and **scrutiny** of:

complex or unusually large activity

unusual patterns of activity, which have no **apparent economic** or **visible lawful** purpose and

any other activity, the nature of which causes the <u>supervised</u> person to regard it as particularly likely to be related to money laundering or the financing of terrorism.

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶

Part 1: Section 6 - On-going monitoring: Scrutiny of transactions & activity¶

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: may

**Deleted:** be appropriate

Deleted: cases where there

**Deleted:** close day to day overview of a business relationship

which

**Deleted:** as appropriate

Deleted: some

**Deleted:** Awareness raising and training are covered in

Moved down [1]: AML/CFT Codes of Practice¶

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: relevant

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: relevant

→Part 1: Section 6 - On-going monitoring: Scrutiny of transactions & 33. As part of its examination of the above transactions, a supervised person must examine, as far activitv¶ as possible, their background and purpose and set forth its findings in writing. Deleted: relevant **Guidance notes** 34. A supervised person may demonstrate that CDD policies and procedures are appropriate Deleted: relevant where **scrutiny** of transactions and activity has regard to the following factors: its business risk assessment (including the size and complexity of its business) Deleted: ); the nature of its business and services whether it is practicable to monitor transactions or activity in real time (i.e. before customer instructions are put into effect) Deleted: ); and whether it is possible to establish appropriate standardised parameters for automated monitoring and Deleted: the monitoring procedures that already exist to satisfy other business needs. 35. A supervised person may demonstrate that CDD policies and procedures are appropriate Deleted: relevant where the following are used to **recognise** notable transactions or activity: customer business and risk profile\_see Section 3.3.5 of this Handbook Deleted: -Deleted: ; Deleted: "Red flags" person's customer base - based on its business risk assessment (refer to Section 2.3.1 of Deleted: relevant this Handbook), information published from time to time by the JFSC or JFCU, e.g. findings of supervisory and themed examinations and typologies, and information published by Deleted: Commission reliable and independent third parties and Deleted: the Deleted:: <u>\_'red\_flags'</u> or indicators of complex transactions <u>and</u> activity <u>\_</u> based on its business risk Deleted: "Red flags" assessment (refer to Section 2.3.1 of this Handbook), information published from time to Deleted: or time by the JFSC or JFCU, e.g. findings of supervisory and themed examinations and Deleted: typologies, and information published by reliable and independent third parties. Deleted: Commission 36. A *supervised person* may demonstrate that *CDD policies and procedures* are appropriate if Deleted: the **examination** of notable transactions or activity includes: Deleted: relevant reference to the *customer's* business and risk profile Deleted: as far as possible, a review of the background and purpose of a transaction or activity (set in the context of the business and risk profile) and Deleted: ): where necessary, the collection of further information needed to determine whether a transaction or activity has an apparent economic or visible lawful purpose. Case study: A supervised person may have a business relationship with a customer who previously advise Deleted: relevant that they had a modest source of funds. The customer then instructs the supervised person to purchase an asset, the value of which appears to be outside the means of the customer's source of funds, as currently understoo

While the *supervised person* may be satisfied that it still knows the identity of the *customer*, a part of its on-going monitoring obligations, it would be appropriate to ask about the *source* 

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value

Page **5** of **1**5

of funds for this purchase. Depending on the customer's willingness to provide such information, and the answer that is provided, the supervised person's staff should also consider whether they:

**Deleted:** AMI/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶
Part 1: Section 6 - On-going monitoring: Scrutiny of transactions &

are satisfied with the response

want further proof of the source of funds and/or

need to submit an internal SAR to the supervised person's MLRO.

37. <u>A supervised person</u> may demonstrate that *CDD* and reporting *policies and procedures* are effective if, **post-examination** of notable transactions or activity, it:

revises, as necessary, its customer's business and risk profile

<u>adjusts</u>, as necessary, its monitoring system, e.g. it refines monitoring parameters, enhances controls for more vulnerable products/services/business units and

considers whether it knows, suspects or has reasonable grounds for suspecting that another person is engaged in money laundering or the financing of terrorism, or that any property constitutes or represents the proceeds of criminal conduct.

6.2.2 Monitoring and recognition of business relationships and transactions – Person connected with an enhanced risk state or sanctioned country

Overview

38. The risk that a *business relationship* is tainted by funds that are the proceeds of criminal conduct, or are used to finance terrorism, is increased where the *business relationship* or <u>one-off transaction</u> is with a person or entity connected with a country or territory:

in relation to which the FATF has called for the application of enhanced CDD measures (an enhanced risk state) or

that is subject to measures for purposes connected with the prevention and detection of *money* laundering or the financing of terrorism, such measures being imposed by one or more countries or sanctioned by the UK, EU (in limited circumstances) or the UN.

- 39. Similarly, the risk that a business relationship is tainted by funds that are the proceeds of criminal conduct, or are used to finance terrorism, is increased where the business relationship or <u>one-off transaction</u> is with a person connected with an <u>organisation</u> subject to such measures or who is themselves subject to such measures.
- 40. As a part of its on-going monitoring procedures, a <u>supervised person</u> will establish and <u>maintain</u> appropriate <u>policies and procedures</u> to **monitor** all <u>customer</u> transactions and activity in order to **recognise** whether any business relationships or <u>one-off</u> transactions are <u>directly or indirectly</u> with such <u>sanctioned persons</u>, <u>organisations or other parties</u>.
- 41. There is not a separate requirement to **examine**, or have *policies and procedures* in place to examine, *business relationships* with an *enhanced risk state* once they are recognised. This is because enhanced *CDD* measures must be applied in line with Article 15(1)(c) of the Money Laundering Order. See Section 7.5 of this Handbook.

Deleted:;

activity¶

Moved (insertion) [2]

**Moved down [3]:** gsystem</pr><

Deleted: <#>services; and¶

<#>considers whether it knows, suspects or has reasonable grounds for suspecting that another person is engaged in money loundering or the financing of terrorism, or that any property constitutes or represents the proceeds of criminal conduct.

Deleted: <#> or Organization

Deleted: -

В

Deleted:

Deleted: - a sanctioned country or territory

Deleted: organization

Deleted: - a sanctioned person or organization.

Deleted: relevant

**Deleted:** a person

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value →Part 1: Section 6 - On-going monitoring: Scrutiny of transactions & 42. There is not a statutory requirement to examine, or have policies and procedures in place to activity¶ examine, business relationships or one-off transactions with a <u>designated</u> person once they Deleted: sanctioned are recognised. This is because provisions in financial sanctions legislation must be followed. Deleted:, organization, country or territory Among other things, such provisions may prohibit certain activities or require the property to Deleted: Inter alia be frozen. Further guidance is published on the JFSC's website. **Deleted:** of listed persons Deleted: 1 Deleted: the Commission's website Deleted: AML/CFT Codes of Practice¶ 43. On-going monitoring must involve **examining** transactions and activity recognised as being Moved (insertion) [1] with a person connected with an enhanced risk state. 44. A *supervised person* must establish and maintain appropriate and consistent *policies and* Deleted: relevant procedures which provide for the **examination** of transactions and activity recognised as being with a person connected with an enhanced risk state. 45. As part of its **examination** of the above transactions <u>and activity</u>, a <u>supervised</u> <u>person</u> must Deleted: relevant examine, as far as possible, their background and purpose and set forth its findings in writing. **Guidance notes** 46. A supervised person may demonstrate that CDD policies and procedures are appropriate Deleted: relevant where scrutiny of transactions and activity has regard to the following factors: its business risk assessment (including the size and complexity of its business) Deleted: ): the nature of its business and services whether it is practicable to monitor transactions or activity in real time (i.e. before customer instructions are put into effect) Deleted: ); and whether it is possible to establish appropriate standardised parameters for automated monitoring and Deleted: the monitoring procedures that already exist to satisfy other business needs. 47. A supervised person may demonstrate that CDD policies and procedures are appropriate Deleted: relevant where the following are used to  ${f recognise}$  connections with persons connected to  ${\it enhanced}$ risk states and sanctioned countries: all\_customers - Business and risk profile in line with Section 3.3.5 of this Handbook Deleted: - Customer Deleted: all customers - Adopting the UK's consolidated list as a comprehensive listing of sanction measures applicable in Jersey all customers - Considering methods of identifying possible indirect associations and connections that may exist between the supervised person's customer and any sanctione parties, and/or enhanced risk states, that will not immediately be obvious from screening Deleted: - Appendix D1 of the UK's consolidated list enhanced risk states - Appendix D1 of the AML/CFT Handbook and

sanctioned countries, and territories - Appendix D2 of the AML/CFT Handbook (Source 6 only).

Page **7** of **15** 

Deleted:

Deleted: - Appendix D2

48. A <u>supervised person</u> may demonstrate that *CDD policies and procedures* are appropriate if **examination** of transactions or activity recognised as being with a person connected with an *enhanced risk state* includes:

reference to the *customer's* business and risk profile

as far as possible, a review of the background and purpose of a transaction or activity (set in the context of the business and risk profile) and

where necessary, the collection of further information needed to determine whether a transaction or activity has an **apparent economic** or **visible lawful** purpose.

49. A <u>supervised</u> person may demonstrate that CDD and reporting policies and procedures are appropriate if **post-examination** of transactions or activity recognised as being with a person connected with an enhanced risk state it:

revises, as necessary, its customer's business and risk profile

<u>adjusts</u>, as necessary, its monitoring system e.g. refines monitoring parameters, enhances <u>controls for more vulnerable products/services/business units and</u>

considers whether its knows, suspects or has reasonable grounds for suspecting that another person is engaged in *money laundering* or the *financing of terrorism*, or that any property constitutes or represents the proceeds of criminal conduct.

## 6.3 Automated monitoring methods

## Overview

- 50. Automated monitoring methods may be effective in recognising notable transactions and activity, and *business relationships* and *one-off* transactions with persons connected to *enhanced risk states* and sanctioned countries, territories and other sanctioned parties.
- 51. **Exception reports** can provide a simple but effective means of monitoring all transactions to or from particular geographical locations or accounts and any activity that falls outside of pre\_determined parameters\_ based on thresholds that reflect a *customer's* business and risk profile.
- 52. Large or more complex <u>supervised</u> persons may also use automated monitoring methods to facilitate the monitoring of significant volumes of transactions, or <u>such as</u> in an e-commerce environment where the opportunity for human scrutiny of individual transactions is limited.
- 53. What constitutes unusual behaviour by a *customer* is often defined by the <u>automated</u> <u>monitoring system selected by the *supervised person*. It is important that the system selected has an appropriate definition of 'unusual' and is in line with the nature of business conducted by the *supervised person*.</u>
- 54. Where an automated monitoring method (group or otherwise) is used, a <u>supervised person</u> will need to understand:

how the system works and when it is changed

its coverage (who or what is monitored and what external data sources are used)

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶

Part 1: Section 6 - On-going monitoring: Scrutiny of transactions & activity¶

Deleted: relevant

Deleted::

Deleted: );

Deleted: relevant

Moved (insertion) [4]

Moved (insertion) [3]

Deleted: <#>;¶

<#>adjusts, as necessary, its monitoring system e.g. refines monitoring parameters, enhances controls for more vulnerable services; and¶

**Moved up [2]:** <#>considers whether it knows, suspects or has reasonable grounds for suspecting that another person is engaged in *money loundering* or the *financing* of *terrorism*, or that any property constitutes or represents the proceeds of criminal conduct. ¶

Deleted: and

Deleted:

Deleted: -

Deleted: relevant

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: system.

Deleted: will be

Deleted: one that

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: ;

Deleted: );

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value →Part 1: Section 6 - On-going monitoring: Scrutiny of transactions & how to use the system, e.g. making full use of guidance and activity¶ the nature of its output (exceptions, alerts etc.). Deleted: ). 55. Use of automated monitoring methods does not remove the need for a *supervised person* to Deleted: relevant otherwise remain vigilant. Factors such as staffintuition, direct contact with a customer and Deleted: the ability, through experience, to recognise transactions and activity that do not seem to make sense, cannot be automated. 56. In the case of screening of a business relationship (before establishing that relationship and subsequently) and transactions, the use of electronic external data sources to screen customers may be particularly effective. However, where a supervised person uses group Deleted: relevant screening arrangements, it will need to be satisfied that it provides a dequate mitigation of risks applicable to the Jersey business. In all cases, it is important that a <u>supervised</u> person: Deleted: relevant unders tands which business relationships and transaction types are screened Deleted: understands the system's capacity for fuzzy matching (a technique used to recognise name Deleted: that do not precisely match a target name but which are still potentially relevant) Deleted: " ( Deleted: ). sets clear procedures for dealing with potential matches, driven by risk considerations rather than resources and Deleted: records the basis for discounting alerts (e.g. false positives) to provide an audit trail. Deleted: " Deleted: " 57. By way of example, **fuzzy matching** arrangements can be used to identify the following

Variation	Example
Different spelling of names	"Jon" instead of "John"
	"Abdul" instead of "Abdel"
Name reversal	"Adam, John Smith" instead of "Smith, John Adam"
Shortened names	"Bill" instead of "William"
Insertion/removal of punctuation and spaces	"Global Industries Inc" instead of "Global- Industries, Inc."
Name variations	"Chang" instead of "Jang"

58. Further information on screening practices may be found in <u>reports</u> published by the <u>JFSC</u> in <u>August 2014 and May 2021</u>. Additional guidance is also available on the JFSC's website.

#### 5.4 Money laundering warning signs

#### Overview

variations:

59. Article 13 of the *Money Laundering Order* requires a *supervised person* to apply on-going monitoring throughout the course of a business relationship and take steps to be aware of transactions with heightened *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism* risks. The *Proceeds of Crime Law* requires a *supervised person* to report suspicious transactions and activity (see Section 8 of this Handbook).

**Deleted:** a report

Deleted: Commission

**Deleted:** August 2014<sup>2</sup>.

**Deleted:** <#>Warning Signs for Estate Agents and High Value

<#>¶

<#>Guidance Notes¶

Deleted: relevant

Deleted: relevant

**Deleted:** This version is effective from: 03 March 2021

Page **9** of **15** 

- <u>60. This section highlights a number of general warning signs for supervised persons to help them</u> decide whether there may be reasons for concern or the basis for a reportable suspicion.
- 61. In relation to on-going monitoring, a supervised person should have regard both to the warning signs contained in the relevant sector-specific sections of this Handbook and the general indicators set out below, where they may become vulnerable to money laundering or the financing of terrorism. These warning signs apply to on-going relationships just as much as to circumstances that may arise at the start of a business relationship.
- 62. Because money launderers and terrorist financers are always developing new techniques, no list of examples can be fully comprehensive. However, the following are some key factors indicating activity or transactions which might heighten a *customer's* risk profile, or give cause for concern.

#### 6.4.1 Secretive customers

В

63. Whilst face-to-face contact with *customers* is not always possible, an excessively obstructive or secretive *customer* may be a cause for concern. Consideration should be given as to whether *customers* who demand strict confidentiality relating to their financial and business affairs, or are reluctant to answer due diligence questions are evading tax or seeking to mask the true beneficial ownership of their assets.

## 6.4.2 Unusual instructions

В

64. Instructions that are unusual in themselves, or that are unusual for the *supervised person* or the *customer* may give rise to concern, particularly where no rational or logical explanation can be given. Be wary of:

loss-making transactions where the loss is avoidable

dealing with money or property when there are suspicions that it is being transferred to avoid the attention of either a trust in a bankruptcy case, a revenue authority (e.g. HMRC, Revenue Jersey etc), or a law enforcement agency

complex or unusually large transactions, particularly where underlying beneficial ownership is difficult to ascertain and/or where the underlying transactions have been conducted in cash

unusual patterns of transactions which have no apparent economic purpose particularly those where a number of jurisdictions and different entities are involved for no logical business reason

funds that are being switched between investments or jurisdictions for no apparent reason

 $\underline{\text{use of shell companies, blind trusts or other structures that are merely being used as a front for other activities}$ 

excessive use of off-balance sheet transactions or activity.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Deleted:} AML/CFT \ Handbook \ for \ Estate \ Agents \ and \ High \ Value \ Dealers \P \end{tabular}$ 

Part 1: Section 6 - On-going monitoring: Scrutiny of transactions & activity  $\P$ 

Deleted: Estate agents and high value dealers should be alert in particular to alterations in instructions or who is instructing them where either instructions change or the customer changes.

Moved down [5]: <#>The obligation to re-conduct CDD may

well arise.¶

Deleted: <#>iust as much

#### 6.4.2.1 Instructions outside the supervised person's area of expertise

65. Taking on work which is outside the *supervised person's* normal range of expertise can present additional risks because a money launderer or terrorist financer might be using the *supervised person* to avoid answering too many questions. A *supervised person* inexperienced in the provision of a particular product or service might be influenced into taking steps which a more experienced business would not contemplate. *Supervised persons* should be wary of highly paid niche areas of work in which they have no background, but in which the *customer* claims to be an expert.

66. If the customer is not resident in Jersey, supervised persons should satisfy themselves that there is a genuine legitimate reason why they have been approached. For example, have the supervised person's services been recommended by a nother customer? Making these types of enquiries makes good business sense, as well as being a sensible AML/CFT check.

#### 6.4.2.2 Changing instructions

- <u>67.</u> Instructions that change unexpectedly or significantly might be suspicious, especially if there seems to be no logical reason for the changes. This may also be the case where the person making the instruction changes. The obligation to re-conduct *CDD* may well arise.
- 68. The following situations could give rise to cause for concern:
  - <u>a customer</u> deposits funds into a <u>supervised person's</u> client account for a transaction, but the <u>ends the transaction for no apparent reason</u>
  - <u>a customer</u> advises that funds are coming from one source and at the last minute the source <u>changes and</u>
  - <u>a customer</u> unexpectedly requests that money received into a supervised person's client account be sent back to its source, to the *customer* or to a third party.

#### 6.4.3 Use of client accounts

69. Client accounts should only be used to hold *customer* money for legitimate transactions for *customers*, or for another proper legal purpose. Putting criminal money through a *supervised* person's client account can make it appear clean, whether the money is sent back to the *customer*, on to a third party, or invested in some way. Introducing cash into the banking system can become part of the placement stage of *money laundering*. Therefore, the use of cash for non-cash based businesses is often a warning sign.

#### 6.4.3.1 Source of funds

70. If funding is from a source other than the *customer*, *supervised persons* may need to make further enquiries, especially if the *customer* has not previously advised that a third party would be involved. When considering whether to accept funds from a third party, *supervised persons* should ask how and why the third party is helping with the funding.

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶ --> Part 1: Section 6 - On-going monitoring: Scrutiny of transactions & activity¶

Moved (insertion) [5]

71. A supervised person must always be alert to warning signs and in some cases will need to seek more information.

:O

activity¶

**Deleted:** AMI/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶
Part 1: Section 6 - On-going monitoring: Scrutiny of transactions &

## 6.4.4 Money laundering offences factors

В

#### 6.4.4.1 Intent

В

- 72. Except for certain strict liability offences, criminal conduct requires an element of criminal intent which means that an offender must know or suspect that an action or property is criminal. Conduct which is an innocent error or mistake may be criminal where it constitutes a strict liability offence, but will not also be *money laundering*.
- 73. If an individual or *supervised person* knows or believes that a *customer* is acting in error, the *customer* may be approached and the situation and legal risks explained to them. However, once the criminality of the conduct is explained to the *customer*, they must bring their conduct (including past conduct) promptly within the legislation to avoid a *money laundering* offence being committed. Where there is uncertainty about the legal issues that are outside the competence of the *supervised person*, *customers* should be referred to an appropriate specialist or legal adviser.
- 74. If there are reasonable grounds to suspect that a *customer* knew or suspected that their actions were criminal, a report must be made. Even if the *customer* does not have the relevant intent, but the *supervised person* is aware that there is criminal property, consideration needs to be given to whether a report has to be made to the *JFCU*.
- 75. In all circumstances, *supervised persons* should be mindful of committing a 'tipping-off' offence as set out at Article 35(4) of the Proceeds of Crime Law. See Section 8.5 of this Handbook for more information.

## 6.4.4.2 Holding of funds

В

- 76. Supervised persons who choose to hold funds on behalf of a customer should consider the checks to be made about the funds they intend to hold before the funds are received.

  Consideration should be given to conducting CDD measures on all those on whose behalf the funds are being held.
- 77. Particular consideration should be given to any proposal that funds are collected from a number of individuals whether for investment purposes or otherwise. This could lead to wide circulation of client account details and payments being received from unknown sources.

#### 6.4.4.3 Factors arising from action by the customer or its controllers

В

78. Where a *customer* is actively involved in *money laundering*, the signs may include:
unusually complex corporate structure where complexity does not seem to be warranted
complex or unusual transactions, possibly with related parties

<u>transactions with little commercial logic taking place in the normal course of business (such as selling and re-purchasing the same asset)</u>

transactions conducted outside of the normal course of business or where the method of payment/receipt is not usual business practice, such as wire transfers or payments in foreign currency

transactions where there is a lack of information or explanation, or where explanations are unsatisfactory

transactions that are undervalued or overvalued, including double billing

 $\underline{transactions\ with\ companies\ whose\ identity\ or\ beneficial\ ownership\ is\ difficult\ to\ establish}$ 

abnormally extensive or unusual related party transactions

unusual numbers of cash transactions for substantial amounts or a large number of small transactions that add up to a substantial amount

payment for unspecified services or for general consultancy services and

long delays in the production of company or trust accounts for no apparent reason.

#### 6.4.4.4 Where the customer may be unknowingly a party to money laundering

79. There may be occasions where **the** *customer* **has been duped by its own customer** into providing assistance or a vehicle for *money laundering* or the *financing of terrorism*. Warning signs may be:

unusual transactions without an explanation, or a pattern of trading with a customer of the supervised person's customer that is different from the norm

request for settlement of sales in cash

the customer's customer setting up a transaction that appears to be of no commercial advantage or logic

the customer's customer requesting special arrangements for vague purposes

unusual transactions with companies registered in other jurisdictions

request for settlement to bank accounts or jurisdictions which would be unusual for a normal commercial transaction or

excessive overpayment of accounts, subsequently requesting a refund.

#### 6.4.5 Administration of estates

- 80. A deceased person's estate is very unlikely to be actively utilised by criminals as a means for laundering their funds; however, there is still a risk of *money laundering* for those working in this area.
- 81. When winding up an estate, there is no blanket requirement that supervised persons should be satisfied about the history of all of the funds which make up the estate under administration. However, supervised persons should be aware of the factors which can increase money laundering risks and consider the following:

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶ → Part 1: Section 6 - On-going monitoring: Scrutiny of transactions &

activity¶

where estate assets have been earned in a foreign jurisdiction, supervised persons should be aware of the wide definition of criminal conduct in the Proceeds of Crime Law and

where estate assets have been earned or are located in a *higher risk country or territory*, supervised persons may need to make further checks about the source of those funds.

- 82. Supervised persons should be alert from the outset and monitor throughout so that any disclosure can be considered as soon as knowledge or suspicion is formed and problems of delayed consent can be avoided.
- 83. Supervised persons should bear in mind that an estate may include criminal property. An extreme example would be where the supervised person knows or suspects that the deceased person was accused or convicted of acquisitive criminal conduct during their lifetime.
- 84. If supervised persons know or suspect that the deceased person improperly claimed welfare benefit or had evaded the due payment of tax during their lifetime, criminal property will be included in the estate and so a money laundering disclosure may be required.
- 85. Relevant local laws will apply before assets can be released. For example, a grant of probate will normally be required before UK assets can be released. Supervised persons should remain a lert to warning signs, for example if the deceased or their business interests are based in a higher risk country of territory.
- 86. If the deceased person is from another jurisdiction and a lawyer is dealing with the matter in the home country, supervised persons may find it helpful to ask the lawyer for information about the deceased to gain some assurances that there are no suspicious circumstances surrounding the estate. The issue of the tax payable on the estate may depend on the jurisdiction concerned.

#### 6.4.6 Charities

В

- 87. While the majority of charities are used for legitimate reasons, they can be used as vehicles for *money laundering* or the *financing of terrorism*.
- 88. Supervised persons acting for charities should consider their purpose and the organisations they are aligned with. If money is being received on the charity's behalf from an individual or a company donor, or a bequest from an estate, supervised persons should be alert to unusual circumstances, such as receipt of unexpectedly large sums of money.

## <u>6.4.7 Taxation matters</u>

В

- 89. There are a number of tax offences which can give rise to the proceeds of crime and therefore require the submission of a *SAR* to the *JFCU*. A *supervised person* is not required to be an expert in criminal law, but they would be expected to recognise activity which might suggest the *customer* is involved in tax evasion.
- 90. There will, however, be no question of criminality where the *customer* has adopted in good faith, honestly and without mis-statement, a technical position with which a revenue authority disagrees.
- 91. The main areas where offences may arise in relation to direct tax are:

tax evasion, including making false returns (including supporting documents), accounts or financial statements or deliberate failure to submit returns and

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶ Part 1: Section 6 - On-going monitoring: Scrutiny of transactions & activity¶

deliberate refusal to correct known errors.

#### 6.4.7.1 Innocent or negligent error

92. Where a customer indicates that they are unwilling, or refuse, to disclose an innocent mistake or negligent act to the competent authority in order to avoid paying the tax due, the customer appears to have formed a criminal intent and therefore a reporting obligation arises. The supervised person should also consider whether they can continue to act for the customer. This paragraph applies equally to potential customers for whom the supervised person has declined to act.

#### 6.4.7.2 Intention to underpay

93. Customers may suggest that they will, in the future, underpay tax. This would be tax evasion and also a money laundering offence when it occurs. A supervised person can and should investigate whether the customer has understood their obligations under the relevant legislation. Should the customer's intention in this regard still remain in doubt, the supervised person should consider carefully whether they can commence or continue to act, and if in doubt should seek specialist legal advice. A SAR may well be required in such cases.

#### 6.4.8 Observation of unlawful conduct

- 94. It should be borne in mind that for property to be criminal property, not only must it constitute a person's benefit from criminal conduct, but the alleged offender must know or suspect that the property constitutes such a benefit. This means, for example, that if someone has made an innocent error, even if such an error resulted in benefit and constituted a strict liability criminal offence, then the proceeds are not criminal property and no money laundering offence has arisen until the offender becomes aware of the error.
- 95. Examples of unlawful behaviour which may be observed, but which are not reportable as money laundering, are set out below:
  - offences where no proceeds or benefit results, such as the late filing of company accounts.

    However, supervised persons should be alert to the possibility that persistent failure to file accounts could represent part of a larger offence with proceeds, such as fraudulent trading or credit fraud involving the concealment of a poor financial position
  - mis-statements in tax returns, for whatever cause, but which are corrected before the date when the tax becomes due
  - attempted fraud where the attempt has failed and so no benefit has accrued (although this may still be an offence in some jurisdictions e.g. the UK) and

where a *customer* refuses to correct, or unreasonably delays in correcting, an innocent error that gave rise to proceeds and which was unlawful, firms should consider what that indicates about the client's intent and whether the property has now become criminal property.

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for Estate Agents and High Value Dealers¶ --> Part 1: Section 6 - On-going monitoring: Scrutiny of transactions & activity¶