

6 ONGOING MONITORING – SCRUTINY OF TRANSACTIONS & ACTIVITY

6.1 Overview

1. This section outlines the statutory provisions concerning on-going monitoring consists of:
 - scrutinising transactions undertaken throughout the course of a business relationship and keeping documents, data or information up-to-date and relevant.
2. The obligation to monitor a *business relationship* finishes at the time that it is terminated. In a case where a relationship has been terminated, but where payment for a service remains outstanding, a *supervised person* will still need to consider reporting provisions summarised in Section 8 of this Handbook. For example where there is suspicion that payment for the service is made out of the proceeds of criminal conduct.
3. This section explains the measures required to demonstrate compliance with the requirement to scrutinise transactions and sets a requirement to scrutinise *customer* activity.
4. The requirement to keep documents, data or information up-to-date and relevant is covered at Section 3.4 of this Handbook.

6.2 Obligation to perform on-going monitoring

Statutory requirements (paraphrased wording)

5. Article 3(3) of the Money Laundering Order sets out what on-going monitoring is to involve:
 - › scrutinising transactions undertaken throughout the course of a business relationship to ensure that the transactions being conducted are consistent with the relevant person's knowledge of the customer, including the customer's business and risk profile. See Article 3(3)(a) of the Money Laundering Order.
 - › keeping documents, data or information up-to-date and relevant by undertaking reviews of existing records, particularly in relation to higher risk categories of customers. See Article 3(3)(b) of the Money Laundering Order.
6. Article 13 of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to apply on-going monitoring throughout the course of a business relationship.
7. Article 11 of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to maintain appropriate and consistent policies and procedures for the application of CDD measures, having regard to the degree of risk of money laundering and the financing of terrorism. The policies and procedures referred to include those:
 - › which provide for the identification and scrutiny of:

Deleted: ON-GOING

Deleted: : SCRUTINY OF Transactions & ACTIVITY

Deleted: ¶

Please Note:¶

Regulatory requirements are set within this section as *AML/CFT Codes of Practice*.¶

This section contains references to Jersey legislation which may be accessed through the *JFSC website*.¶

Where terms appear in the Glossary this is highlighted by the use of italic text. The Glossary is available from the *JFSC website*. ...

Deleted: of Section

Deleted: ;

Deleted: firm

Deleted: , e.g.

Deleted: also

Deleted: client

Deleted: and

Deleted: discussed

Deleted:

Deleted: .

Deleted: (1)

Deleted: establish and

a. complex or unusually large transactions

b. unusual patterns of transactions, which have no apparent economic or lawful purpose or

c. any other activity, the nature of which causes the relevant person to regard it as particularly likely to be related to money laundering or the financing of terrorism.

which determine whether:

a. business relationships or transactions are with a person connected with a country or territory in relation to which the FATF has called for the application of enhanced CDD measures or

b. business relationships or transactions are with a person:

i. subject to measures under law applicable in Jersey for the prevention and detection of money laundering

ii. connected with an organization that is subject to such measures or

iii. connected with a country or territory that is subject to such measures.

8. Article 11(3A) of the Money Laundering Order explains that, for the purposes of Article 11(3)(a), "scrutiny" includes scrutinising the background and purpose of transactions and activities.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Accountancy Sector ¶
Part 1: Section 6: On-going Monitoring: Scrutiny of Transactions and Activity¶

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: 1

6.2.1 Scrutiny of transactions and activity

B

Overview

F

9. **Scrutiny** may be considered as two separate, but complimentary processes.

10. **Firstly**, a **supervised person** monitors all **customer** transactions and activity in order to **recognise** notable transactions or activity, i.e. those that:

are inconsistent with the **supervised person's** knowledge of the **customer (unusual transactions or activity)**

are complex or unusually large

form part of an unusual pattern or

present a higher risk of *money laundering* or the *financing of terrorism*.

11. **Secondly**, such notable transactions and activity, **including their background and purpose**, are then **examined** by an appropriate person.

12. In addition to the scrutiny of **transactions** as required by the *Money Laundering Order*, AML/CFT Codes of Practice in this section **also** require a **supervised person** to scrutinise **customer activity**. This is **not just relevant to transaction-based business relationships, but also to business relationships that do not involve transactions, e.g. where a supervised person gives investment advice, or acts as a director to a company.**

13. A **supervised person** must therefore, as a part of its **scrutiny** of transactions/activity, establish appropriate **procedures** to **monitor** all of its **customers'** transactions/activity, and to **recognise** and **examine** notable **transactions/activity**.

Deleted: ;

Deleted: firm

Deleted: client

Deleted: firm's

Deleted: client;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: , including the background and purpose of such transactions and activity

Deleted: ;

Deleted: set

Deleted: firm

Deleted: also

Deleted: client activity (though this will already be the effect of policies and procedures required by of the Money Laundering Order).

Deleted: firm

Deleted: and

Deleted: clients'

Deleted: and

Deleted: or

Deleted: Effective from: 25 February 2020

14. Sections 3 and 4 of this Handbook address the capturing of sufficient information about a customer, allowing a supervised person to record a customer business and risk profile which provides a basis for recognising notable transactions/activity, which may indicate money laundering or the financing of terrorism.

15. Additional or more frequent monitoring is required for relationships that have been designated as carrying a higher risk of money laundering or the financing of terrorism.

16. With reference to what has been recorded in the customer business and risk profile, unusual transactions/activity, unusually large transactions/activity, and unusual patterns of transactions/activity may be recognised where transactions or activity are inconsistent with the expected pattern of transactions

the expected activity for a particular customer or

the normal business activities for the type of product or service that is being delivered.

17. Where a supervised person's customer base is homogeneous, and where the products and services provided to customers result in uniform patterns of transactions or activity, it may be easier to establish parameters to identify usual transactions/activity. For example when dealing with local property transactions being passed before the Royal Court or undertaking deposit-taking activities.

18. Where each customer is unique, and where the product or service is bespoke, a supervised person will need to tailor monitoring systems to the nature of its business and facilitate the application of additional judgement and experience to the recognition of unusual transactions and activity.

19. For some customers, additional information may only become evident during the course of the business relationship (i.e. whilst acting for the customer), leading to a revised profile and risk assessment. This requires particular diligence and care when updating documents, data or information and when scrutinising and monitoring customer activity and transactions. In these cases, appropriate staff training in the recognition of unusual transactions and activity is vital, as are relevant systems and controls.

20. Higher risk transactions/activity may be recognised by developing a set of 'red flags' or indicators which may indicate money laundering or the financing of terrorism, based on a supervised person's understanding of its business, products and customers (i.e. the outcome of its business risk assessment – Section 2.3.1).

21. Complex transactions/activity may be recognised by developing a set of indicators, based on a supervised person's understanding of its business, its products and its customers (i.e. the outcome of its business risk assessment – Section 2.3.1).

22. External data sources and media reports may also assist with the identification of notable transactions and activity.

23. Where notable transactions or activity are recognised, they will need to be examined. The purpose of this examination is to determine whether there is an apparent economic or visible lawful purpose for the transactions or activity. It is not necessary (nor will it be possible) to conclude with certainty that a transaction or activity has an economic or lawful purpose. Sometimes, it may be possible to make such a determination on the basis of an existing customer business and risk profile and on occasion this examination will involve requesting additional information from a customer.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Accountancy Sector¶
→ Part 1: Section 6: On-going Monitoring: Scrutiny of Transactions and Activity¶

Deleted: client that will allow

Deleted: firm

Deleted: prepare and

Deleted: client

Deleted: will provide

Deleted: or

Deleted: Unusual

Deleted: or

Deleted: or

Deleted: or

Deleted: or

Deleted: client,

Deleted: with

Deleted: For many clients of accountancy and tax firms (

Deleted: in all cases for insolvency firms), a complete profile and appropriate risk assessment

Deleted: client, making the

Deleted: of

Deleted: of client

Deleted: key to obtaining a complete understanding of client relationships. The more a firm knows about its clients and develops an understanding of

Deleted: instructions, the better placed it will be to assess risks.

Deleted: or

Deleted: “

Deleted: flags”

Deleted: firm's

Deleted: its

Deleted: its clients

Deleted: or

Deleted: firm's

Deleted: will

Deleted: such transactions or activity

Deleted: recognised

Deleted: client

Deleted: , but

Deleted: occasions

Deleted: client

Deleted: Effective from: 25 February 2020

24. Notable transactions or activity may indicate *money laundering* or the *financing of terrorism* where there is no apparent economic or visible lawful purpose for the transaction or activity, i.e. they are no longer just unusual, but may also be suspicious. **Reporting** of knowledge, suspicion, or reasonable grounds for knowledge or suspicion of *money laundering* or the *financing of terrorism* is addressed in Section 8 of this Handbook.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Accountancy Sector ¶
Part 1: Section 6: On-going Monitoring: Scrutiny of Transactions and Activity¶

25. **Scrutiny** may involve both **real time** and **post event** monitoring. Real time monitoring will focus on transactions and activity when information or instructions are received from a customer, before or as the instruction is processed. Post event monitoring may involve end of day, weekly, monthly or annual reviews of customer transactions and activity. Real time monitoring of transactions and activity will more effectively reduce a supervised person's exposure to money laundering and the financing of terrorism. Post event monitoring may be more effective at identifying unusual patterns.

Deleted: and may involve manual or automated procedures. However, for most accountancy and tax firms, it is unlikely that automated transaction or activity monitoring procedures will be relevant. Monitoring is likely to be most effective when undertaken on a case-by-case basis by fee earners, administration and accounts staff which may be expected to highlight notable transactions or activity.

26. Monitoring may involve **manual** and **automated procedures**. Automated monitoring procedures may add value to manual procedures by recognising transactions or activity that fall outside set parameters. This will be particularly so where a supervised person processes large volumes of customer transactions which are not subject to day-to-day oversight. However, where automated monitoring procedures are not in place, monitoring is likely to be most effective when undertaken on a case-by-case basis by customer facing staff, administration and accounts staff, whom may be expected to spot and highlight notable transactions or activity.

27. The **examination** of notable transactions or activity may also be conducted either by customer facing employees, or by an independent reviewer. In any case, the examiner must have access to all customer records.

Deleted: fee earners

Deleted: some other

Deleted: client

28. The results of an examination should be recorded and appropriate action taken. Refer to Section 10 of this Handbook for record-keeping requirements in relation to the examination of notable transactions and activity.

Deleted: as appropriate

29. In order to **recognise** *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism*, employees will need to have a good level of awareness of both, and to have received training. Refer to Section 9 of this Handbook for raising of awareness and training.

Deleted: some

Deleted: Awareness raising and training are covered in

Deleted: 8

30. Where on-going monitoring indicates possible *money laundering* or the *financing of terrorism* activity, an internal SAR must be made to the MLRO. Reporting of knowledge, suspicion, or reasonable grounds for knowledge or suspicion of *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism* is addressed in Section 8 of this Handbook.

Deleted: .

AML/CFT Codes of Practice

31. In addition to the **scrutiny of transactions**, on-going monitoring must also involve **scrutinising activity** in respect of a business relationship to ensure that the activity is consistent with the supervised person's knowledge of the customer, including the customer's business and risk profile.

Moved down [1]: AML/CFT Codes of Practice¶

32. A supervised person must establish and maintain appropriate and consistent *policies and procedures* which provide for the **identification** and **scrutiny** of:

Deleted: firm's

Deleted: client

Deleted: client's

Deleted: firm

complex or unusually large activity

Deleted: ;

unusual patterns of activity, which have no **apparent economic** or **visible lawful** purpose and

Deleted: ;

any other activity, the nature of which causes the supervised person to regard it as particularly likely to be related to *money laundering* or the *financing of terrorism*.

Deleted: firm

Deleted: Effective from: 25 February 2020

33. As part of its examination of the above transactions, a supervised person must **examine**, as far as possible, their background and purpose and set forth its findings in writing.

Guidance notes

34. A supervised person may demonstrate that *CDD policies and procedures* are appropriate where **scrutiny** of transactions and activity has regard to the following factors:

- its business risk assessment (including the size and complexity of its business)
- the nature of its business and services
- whether it is practicable to monitor transactions or activity in real time (i.e. before customer instructions are put into effect)
- whether it is possible to establish appropriate standardised parameters for automated monitoring and
- the monitoring procedures that already exist to satisfy other business needs.

35. A supervised person may demonstrate that *CDD policies and procedures* are appropriate where the following are used to **recognise** notable transactions or activity:

- customer business and risk profile – see Section 3.3.5 of this Handbook
- ‘red flags’ or indicators of higher risk – that reflect the risk that is present in the supervised person’s customer base – based on its business risk assessment (refer to Section 2.3.1 of this Handbook), information published from time to time by the JFSC or JFCU, e.g. findings of supervisory and themed examinations and typologies, and information published by reliable and independent third parties and
- ‘red flags’ or indicators of complex transactions and activity – based on its business risk assessment (refer to Section 2.3.1 of this Handbook), information published from time to time by the JFSC or JFCU, e.g. findings of supervisory and themed examinations and typologies, and information published by reliable and independent third parties.

36. A supervised person may demonstrate that *CDD policies and procedures* are appropriate if **examination** of notable transactions or activity includes:

- reference to the customer’s business and risk profile
- as far as possible, a review of the background and purpose of a transaction or activity (set in the context of the business and risk profile) and
- where necessary, the collection of further information needed to determine whether a transaction or activity has an **apparent economic or visible lawful purpose**.

Case study:

A supervised person may have a business relationship with a customer who previously advised that they had a modest source of funds.

The customer then instructs the supervised person to purchase an asset, the value of which appears to be outside the means of the customer’s source of funds, as currently understood.

While the supervised person may be satisfied that it still knows the identity of the customer, as part of its on-going monitoring obligations, it would be appropriate to ask about the source

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Accountancy Sector¶
→ Part 1: Section 6: On-going Monitoring: Scrutiny of Transactions and Activity¶

Deleted: firm

Deleted: A firm must have *policies and procedures* in place to address any specific risks associated with client relationships established where the client is not physically present for identification purposes (i.e. non-face to face).

Deleted: firm

Deleted: ;

Deleted: accountancy

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: firm

Deleted: client

Deleted: –

Deleted: ;

Deleted: “Red flags”

Deleted: firm’s client

Deleted: Commission

Deleted: ;

Deleted: “Red flags”

Deleted: or

Deleted: –

Deleted: Commission

Deleted: the

Deleted: firm

Deleted: client’s

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: firm

Deleted: Effective from: 25 February 2020

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Accountancy Sector ¶
Part 1: Section 6: On-going Monitoring: Scrutiny of Transactions and Activity¶

of funds for this purchase. Depending on the customer's willingness to provide such information, and the answer that is provided, the supervised person's staff should also consider whether they:

are satisfied with the response

want further proof of the source of funds and/or

need to submit an internal SAR to the supervised person's MLRO.

37. A supervised person may demonstrate that CDD and reporting policies and procedures are effective if, post-examination of notable transactions or activity, it:

revises, as necessary, its customer's business and risk profile

adjusts, as necessary, its monitoring system, e.g. it refines monitoring parameters, enhances controls for more vulnerable products/services/business units and

considers whether it knows, suspects or has reasonable grounds for suspecting that another person is engaged in money laundering or the financing of terrorism, or that any property constitutes or represents the proceeds of criminal conduct.

Deleted: client's

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

6.2.2 Monitoring and recognition of business relationships and transactions – Person connected with an enhanced risk state or sanctioned country

B

Deleted: or Organization

Overview

E

38. The risk that a business relationship is tainted by funds that are the proceeds of criminal conduct, or are used to finance terrorism, is increased where the business relationship or one-off transaction is with a person or entity connected with a country or territory:

in relation to which the FATF has called for the application of enhanced CDD measures (an enhanced risk state) or

that is subject to measures for purposes connected with the prevention and detection of money laundering or the financing of terrorism, such measures being imposed by one or more countries or sanctioned by the UK, EU (in limited circumstances) or the UN.

Deleted: –

Deleted: ;

Deleted: – a sanctioned country or territory

39. Similarly, the risk that a business relationship is tainted by funds that are the proceeds of criminal conduct, or are used to finance terrorism, is increased where the business relationship or one-off transaction is with a person connected with an organisation subject to such measures or who is themselves subject to such measures.

Deleted: organization

Deleted: - a sanctioned country or territory.

40. As a part of its on-going monitoring procedures, a supervised person will establish and maintain appropriate policies and procedures to monitor all customer transactions and activity in order to recognise whether any business relationships, or one-off transactions are directly or indirectly with such sanctioned persons, organisations or other parties.

Deleted: firm

Deleted: client

Deleted: are

Deleted: a person

41. There is not a separate requirement to examine, or have policies and procedures in place to examine, business relationships with an enhanced risk state once they are recognised. This is because enhanced CDD measures must be applied in line with Article 15(1)(c) of the Money Laundering Order. See Section 7.5 of this Handbook.

Deleted: Effective from: 25 February 2020

42. There is not a statutory requirement to **examine**, or have *policies and procedures* in place to examine, *business relationships* or *one-off transactions* with a *designated person* once they are recognised. This is because provisions in financial sanctions legislation must be followed. *Among other things*, such provisions may prohibit certain activities or require the property to be frozen. Further guidance is published on *the JFSC's website*.

AML/CFT Codes of Practice

43. On-going monitoring must involve **examining** transactions and activity recognised as being with a person connected with an *enhanced risk state*.
44. A *supervised person* must establish and maintain appropriate and consistent *policies and procedures* which provide for the **examination** of transactions and activity recognised as being with a person connected with an *enhanced risk state*.
45. As part of its **examination** of the above transactions and activity, a *supervised person* must examine, as far as possible, their background and purpose and set forth its findings in writing.

Guidance notes

46. A *supervised person* may demonstrate that *CDD policies and procedures* are appropriate where **scrutiny** of transactions and activity has regard to the following factors:
- its business risk assessment (including the size and complexity of its business)
 - the nature of its business and services*
 - whether it is practicable to monitor transactions or activity in real time (i.e. before *customer* instructions are put into effect)
 - whether it is possible to establish appropriate standardised parameters for automated monitoring and
 - the monitoring procedures that already exist to satisfy other business needs.*
47. A *supervised person* may demonstrate that *CDD policies and procedures* are appropriate where the following are used to **recognise** connections with persons connected to *enhanced risk states* and *sanctioned countries*:
- all *customers* – Business and risk profile in line with Section 3.3.5 of this Handbook
 - all customers – Adopting the UK's consolidated list as a comprehensive listing of sanctions measures applicable in Jersey*
 - all customers – Considering methods of identifying possible indirect associations and connections that may exist between the supervised person's customer and any sanctioned parties, and/or enhanced risk states, that will not immediately be obvious from screening of the UK's consolidated list*
 - enhanced risk states - Appendix D1 of the AML/CFT Handbook and*
 - sanctioned countries and territories - Appendix D2 of the AML/CFT Handbook (Source 6 only).*

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Accountancy Sector¶
→ Part 1: Section 6: On-going Monitoring: Scrutiny of Transactions and Activity¶

Deleted: sanctioned

Deleted: , organization, country or territory

Deleted: Inter alia

Deleted: of listed persons

Deleted: ¹

Deleted: the Commission's website.

Deleted: AML/CFT Codes of Practice¶

Moved (insertion) [1]

Deleted: firm

Deleted: , a firm

Deleted: firm

Deleted:);

Deleted: client

Deleted:); and

Deleted: .

Deleted: firm

Deleted: - Client

Deleted: .

Deleted: - Appendix D1

Deleted: .

Deleted: - Appendix D2

Deleted: Effective from: 25 February 2020

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Accountancy Sector ¶
Part 1: Section 6: On-going Monitoring: Scrutiny of Transactions and Activity¶

Deleted: firm

48. A **supervised person** may demonstrate that *CDD policies and procedures* are appropriate if **examination** of transactions or activity recognised as being with a person connected with an *enhanced risk state* includes:

reference to the **customer's** business and risk profile

Deleted: client's

as far as possible, a review of the background and purpose of a transaction or activity (set in the context of the business and risk profile) and

Deleted: ;

where necessary, the collection of further information needed to determine whether a transaction or activity has an **apparent economic** or **visible lawful** purpose.

Deleted: ;

49. A **supervised person** may demonstrate that *CDD and reporting policies and procedures* are appropriate if **post-examination** of transactions or activity recognised as being with a person connected with an *enhanced risk state* it:

Deleted: firm

revises, as necessary, its **customer's** business and risk profile

Deleted: client's

adjusts, as necessary, its monitoring system e.g. refines monitoring parameters, enhances controls for more vulnerable **products/services/business units** and

Deleted: ;

considers whether **its** knows, suspects or has reasonable grounds for suspecting that another person is engaged in *money laundering* or the *financing of terrorism*, or that any property constitutes or represents the proceeds of criminal conduct.

Deleted: ;

Deleted: it

6.3 Automated monitoring methods

Overview

50. Automated monitoring methods may be effective in recognising notable transactions and activity, and *business relationships* and *one-off transactions* with persons connected to *enhanced risk states* and sanctioned countries, territories **and other sanctioned parties**.

Deleted: and

51. **Exception reports** can provide a simple but effective means of monitoring all transactions to or from particular geographical locations or accounts and any activity that falls outside of pre-determined parameters, based on thresholds that reflect a **customer's** business and risk profile.

Deleted: -

Deleted: -

52. Large or more complex **supervised persons** may also use automated monitoring methods to facilitate the monitoring of significant volumes of transactions, or **such as** in an e-commerce environment, where the opportunity for human scrutiny of individual transactions is limited.

Deleted: client's

Deleted: firms

Deleted: -

Deleted: -

53. What constitutes unusual behaviour by a **customer** is often defined by the **automated monitoring system selected by the supervised person**. It **is** important that the system selected has an appropriate definition of 'unusual' and **is** in line with the nature of business conducted by the **supervised person**.

Deleted: client

Deleted: system.

Deleted: will be

Deleted: one that

54. Where an automated monitoring method (group or otherwise) is used, a **supervised person** will need to understand:

Deleted: firm.

Deleted: firm

how the system works and when it is changed

Deleted: ;

its coverage (who or what is monitored and what external data sources are used)

Deleted: ;

Deleted: Effective from: 25 February 2020

how to use the system, e.g. making full use of guidance and the nature of its output (exceptions, alerts etc.).

55. Use of automated monitoring methods does not remove the need for a supervised person to otherwise remain vigilant. Factors such as staff intuition, direct contact with a customer and the ability, through experience, to recognise transactions and activity that do not seem to make sense, cannot be automated.

56. In the case of screening of a business relationship (before establishing that relationship and subsequently) and transactions, the use of electronic external data sources to screen customers may be particularly effective. However, where a supervised person uses group screening arrangements, it will need to be satisfied that it provides adequate mitigation of risks applicable to the Jersey business. In all cases, it is important that a supervised person: understands which business relationships and transaction types are screened understands the system's capacity for fuzzy matching (a technique used to recognise names that do not precisely match a target name but which are still potentially relevant) sets clear procedures for dealing with potential matches, driven by risk considerations rather than resources and records the basis for discounting alerts (e.g. false positives) to provide an audit trail.

57. By way of example, fuzzy matching arrangements can be used to identify the following variations:

| Variation | Example |
|---|--|
| Different spelling of names | "Jon" instead of "John" "Abdul" instead of "Abdel" |
| Name reversal | "Adam, John Smith" instead of "Smith, John Adam" |
| Shortened names | "Bill" instead of "William" |
| Insertion/removal of punctuation and spaces | "Global Industries Inc" instead of "Global-Industries, Inc." |
| Name variations | "Chang" instead of "Jang" |

58. Further information on screening practices may be found in reports published by the JFSC in August 2014 and May 2021. Additional guidance is also available on the JFSC's website.

6.4 Money laundering warning signs

Overview

59. Article 13 of the Money Laundering Order requires a supervised person to apply on-going monitoring throughout the course of a business relationship and take steps to be aware of transactions with heightened money laundering and the financing of terrorism risks. The Proceeds of Crime Law requires a supervised person to report suspicious transactions and activity (see Section 8 of this Handbook).

60. This section highlights a number of general warning signs for supervised persons to help them decide whether there may be reasons for concern or the basis for a reportable suspicion.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Accountancy Sector¶
→ Part 1: Section 6: On-going Monitoring: Scrutiny of Transactions and Activity¶

Deleted: ;

Deleted:).

Deleted: firm

Deleted: client,

Deleted: clients

Deleted: firm

Deleted: firm

Deleted: .

Deleted: "

Deleted: " (

Deleted:).

Deleted: .

Deleted: "

Deleted: "

Deleted: a report

Deleted: Commission

Deleted: August 2014².

Deleted: for the Accountancy Sector

Deleted: firms

Deleted: conduct ongoing

Deleted: relationships

Deleted: firms

Deleted: accountants generally and for those working in specific business areas

Deleted: firms

Deleted: where

Deleted: are

Deleted: Effective from: 25 February 2020

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Accountancy Sector ¶
Part 1: Section 6: On-going Monitoring: Scrutiny of Transactions and Activity¶

61. In relation to on-going monitoring, a supervised person should have regard both to the warning signs contained in the relevant sector-specific sections of this Handbook and the general indicators set out below, where they may become vulnerable to money laundering or the financing of terrorism. These warning signs apply to on-going relationships just as much as to circumstances that may arise at the start of a business relationship.

62. Because money launderers and terrorist financiers are always developing new techniques, no list of examples can be fully comprehensive. However, the following are some key factors indicating activity or transactions which might heighten a customer's risk profile, or give cause for concern.

Deleted: client's

6.4.1 Secretive customers

B

Deleted: Clients

63. Whilst face-to-face contact with customers is not always possible, an excessively obstructive or secretive customer may be a cause for concern. Consideration should be given as to whether customers who demand strict confidentiality relating to their financial and business affairs, or are reluctant to answer due diligence questions are evading tax or seeking to mask the true beneficial ownership of their assets.

Deleted: clients

Deleted: client

Deleted: clients

6.4.2 Unusual instructions

B

64. Instructions that are unusual in themselves, or that are unusual for the supervised person or the customer may give rise to concern, particularly where no rational or logical explanation can be given. Be wary of:

Deleted: firm

Deleted: client

loss-making transactions where the loss is avoidable

Deleted: ;

dealing with money or property when there are suspicions that it is being transferred to avoid the attention of either a trust in a bankruptcy case, a revenue authority (e.g. HMRC, Revenue Jersey etc), or a law enforcement agency

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ;

complex or unusually large transactions, particularly where underlying beneficial ownership is difficult to ascertain and/or where the underlying transactions have been conducted in cash

Deleted: ;

unusual patterns of transactions which have no apparent economic purpose particularly those where a number of jurisdictions and different entities are involved for no logical business reason

Deleted: ;

funds that are being switched between investments or jurisdictions for no apparent reason

Deleted: ;

use of shell companies, blind trusts or other structures that are merely being used as a front for other activities

Deleted: ; and

excessive use of off-balance sheet transactions or activity.

Deleted: Effective from: 25 February 2020

6.4.2.1 Instructions outside the supervised person's area of expertise

65. Taking on work which is outside the supervised person's normal range of expertise can present additional risks because a money launderer or terrorist financier might be using the supervised person to avoid answering too many questions. A supervised person inexperienced in the provision of a particular product or service might be influenced into taking steps which a more experienced business would not contemplate. Supervised persons should be wary of highly paid niche areas of work in which they have no background, but in which the customer claims to be an expert.
66. If the customer is not resident in Jersey, supervised persons should satisfy themselves that there is a genuine legitimate reason why they have been approached. For example, have the supervised person's services been recommended by another customer? Making these types of enquiries makes good business sense, as well as being a sensible AML/CFT check.

6.4.2.2 Changing instructions

67. Instructions that change unexpectedly or significantly might be suspicious, especially if there seems to be no logical reason for the changes. This may also be the case where the person making the instruction changes. The obligation to re-conduct CDD may well arise.
68. The following situations could give rise to cause for concern:
- a customer deposits funds into a supervised person's client account for a transaction, but then ends the transaction for no apparent reason
 - a customer advises that funds are coming from one source and at the last minute the source changes and
 - a customer unexpectedly requests that money received into a supervised person's client account be sent back to its source, to the customer or to a third party.

6.4.3 Use of client accounts

69. Client accounts should only be used to hold customer money for legitimate transactions for customers, or for another proper legal purpose. Putting criminal money through a supervised person's client account can make it appear clean, whether the money is sent back to the customer, on to a third party, or invested in some way. Introducing cash into the banking system can become part of the placement stage of money laundering. Therefore, the use of cash for non-cash based businesses is often a warning sign.

6.4.3.1 Source of funds

70. If funding is from a source other than the customer, supervised persons may need to make further enquiries, especially if the customer has not previously advised that a third party would be involved. When considering whether to accept funds from a third party, supervised persons should ask how and why the third party is helping with the funding.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Accountancy Sector¶
→ Part 1: Section 6: On-going Monitoring: Scrutiny of Transactions and Activity¶

Deleted: Firm's

Deleted: firm's

Deleted: firm

Deleted: An

Deleted: accountant

Deleted: accountant

Deleted: Accountants

Deleted: the firm has

Deleted: client

Deleted: client

Deleted: based outside

Deleted: firms

Deleted: instructed

Deleted: firm's

Deleted: client or is the matter based near your firm?

Deleted: client

Deleted: firm's

Deleted: ;

Deleted: client

Deleted: ;

Deleted: client

Deleted: firm's

Deleted: client

Deleted: client

Deleted: clients

Deleted: professional firm's

Deleted: it

Deleted: client

Deleted: a client, firms

Deleted: client

Deleted: what they intend to do with the funds before depositing them into the firm's account. If it is decided

Deleted: perhaps because time is short, firms

Deleted: Effective from: 25 February 2020

71. A supervised person must always be alert to warning signs and in some cases will need to seek more information.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Accountancy Sector ¶
Part 1: Section 6: On-going Monitoring: Scrutiny of Transactions and Activity¶

Deleted: Enquiries do not need to be made into every source of funding from other parties. However, firms

Deleted: <#>Accountancy and Audit Services¶

6.4.4 Money laundering offences factors

B

6.4.4.1 Intent

B

72. Except for certain strict liability offences, criminal conduct requires an element of criminal intent which means that an offender must know or suspect that an action or property is criminal. Conduct which is an innocent error or mistake may be criminal where it constitutes a strict liability offence, but will not also be *money laundering*.

73. If an individual or supervised person knows or believes that a customer is acting in error, the customer may be approached and the situation and legal risks explained to them. However, once the criminality of the conduct is explained to the customer, they must bring their conduct (including past conduct) promptly within the legislation to avoid a *money laundering* offence being committed. Where there is uncertainty about the legal issues that are outside the competence of the supervised person, customers should be referred to an appropriate specialist or legal adviser.

Deleted: firm

Deleted: client

Deleted: client

Deleted: client

Deleted: firm, clients

74. If there are reasonable grounds to suspect that a customer knew or suspected that their actions were criminal, a report must be made. Even if the customer does not have the relevant intent, but the supervised person is aware that there is criminal property, consideration needs to be given to whether a report has to be made to the JFCU.

Deleted: client

Deleted: client

Deleted: firm

75. In all circumstances, supervised persons should be mindful of committing a 'tipping-off' offence as set out at Article 35(4) of the Proceeds of Crime Law. See Section 8.5 of this Handbook for more information.

6.4.4.2 Holding of funds

B

76. Supervised persons who choose to hold funds on behalf of a customer should consider the checks to be made about the funds they intend to hold before the funds are received. Consideration should be given to conducting CDD measures on all those on whose behalf the funds are being held.
77. Particular consideration should be given to any proposal that funds are collected from a number of individuals whether for investment purposes or otherwise. This could lead to wide circulation of client account details and payments being received from unknown sources.

Deleted: Firms

Deleted: as a stakeholder or escrow agent in commercial transactions

Deleted: <#>General Warning Signs¶
<#>Any of the following general warning signs should prompt additional questions or investigation by those offering accountancy and audit services:¶
<#>use of many different firms of auditors and advisers for connected companies and businesses;¶
<#>the client has a history of changing bookkeepers or accountants yearly; and¶
<#>company records consistently reflect sales at less than cost, thus putting the company into a loss position, but the company continues to operate without reasonable explanation of the continued loss.¶

Deleted: <#>Entity

Deleted: <#>Directors

Deleted: an entity

Deleted: are likely to be similar to those where there is a risk of fraud, and

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: Effective from: 25 February 2020

6.4.4.3 Factors arising from action by the customer or its controllers

B

78. Where a customer is actively involved in *money laundering*, the signs may include:
- unusually complex corporate structure where complexity does not seem to be warranted
 - complex or unusual transactions, possibly with related parties
 - transactions with little commercial logic taking place in the normal course of business (such as selling and re-purchasing the same asset)

| | |
|---|---|
| transactions conducted outside of the normal course of business or where the method of payment/receipt is not usual business practice, such as wire transfers or payments in foreign currency | Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Accountancy Sector¶ → Part 1: Section 6: On-going Monitoring: Scrutiny of Transactions and Activity¶ |
| transactions where there is a lack of information or explanation, or where explanations are unsatisfactory | Deleted: ; |
| transactions that are undervalued or overvalued, including double billing | Deleted: ; |
| transactions with companies whose identity or beneficial ownership is difficult to establish | Deleted: ; |
| abnormally extensive or unusual related party transactions | Deleted: ; |
| unusual numbers of cash transactions for substantial amounts or a large number of small transactions that add up to a substantial amount | Deleted: ; |
| payment for unspecified services or for general consultancy services and | Deleted: ; |
| long delays in the production of company or trust accounts for no apparent reason. | |
| 6.4.4.4 Where the <u>customer</u> may be unknowingly a party to money laundering | Deleted: Client |
| 79. There may be occasions where the <u>customer</u> has been duped by its own <u>customer</u> into providing assistance or a vehicle for <u>money laundering</u> or <u>the financing of terrorism</u> . Warning signs may be: | Deleted: client Deleted: customers or clients Deleted: criminal funds |
| unusual transactions without an explanation, or a pattern of trading with a <u>customer of the supervised person's</u> <u>customer</u> that is different from the norm | Deleted: one Deleted: ; |
| request for settlement of sales in cash | Deleted: ; |
| <u>the customer's customer</u> setting up a transaction that appears to be of no commercial advantage or logic | Deleted: a client Deleted: ; |
| <u>the customer's customer</u> requesting special arrangements for vague purposes | Deleted: a client Deleted: ; |
| unusual transactions with companies registered in <u>other jurisdictions</u> | Deleted: overseas; |
| request for settlement to bank accounts or jurisdictions which would be unusual for a normal commercial transaction or | Deleted: ; |
| excessive overpayment of accounts, subsequently requesting a refund. | |
| 6.4.5 Administration of estates | |
| 80. A deceased person's estate is very unlikely to be actively utilised by criminals as a means for laundering their funds; however, there is still a <u>risk of money laundering</u> for those working in this area. | Deleted: low |
| 81. When winding up an estate, there is no blanket requirement that <u>supervised persons</u> should be satisfied about the history of all of the funds which make up the estate under administration. However, <u>supervised persons</u> should be aware of the factors which can increase <u>money laundering</u> risks and consider the following: | Deleted: firms Deleted: firms |
| | Deleted: Effective from: 25 February 2020 |

where estate assets have been earned in a foreign jurisdiction, supervised persons should be aware of the wide definition of criminal conduct in the Proceeds of Crime Law and

where estate assets have been earned or are located in a higher risk country or territory, supervised persons may need to make further checks about the source of those funds.

82. Supervised persons should be alert from the outset and **monitor** throughout so that any disclosure can be considered as soon as knowledge or suspicion is formed and problems of delayed consent can be avoided.

83. Supervised persons should bear in mind that an estate may include criminal property. An extreme example would be where the supervised person knows or suspects that the deceased person was accused or convicted of acquisitive criminal conduct during their lifetime.

84. If supervised persons know or suspect that the deceased person improperly claimed welfare benefit or had evaded the due payment of tax during their lifetime, criminal property will be included in the estate and so a *money laundering* disclosure may be required.

85. Relevant local laws will apply before assets can be released. For example, a grant of probate will normally be required before UK assets can be released. Supervised persons should remain alert to warning signs, for example if the deceased or their business interests are based in a higher risk country or territory.

86. If the deceased person is from another jurisdiction and a lawyer is dealing with the matter in the home country, supervised persons may find it helpful to ask the lawyer for information about the deceased to gain some assurances that there are no suspicious circumstances surrounding the estate. The issue of the tax payable on the estate may depend on the jurisdiction concerned.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Accountancy Sector ¶
Part 1: Section 6: On-going Monitoring: Scrutiny of Transactions and Activity¶

Deleted: firms

Deleted: ;

Deleted: firms

Deleted: Firms

Deleted: Firms

Deleted: firm

Deleted: firms

Deleted: ,

Deleted: Firms

Deleted: jurisdiction

Deleted: firms

Deleted: that person

6.4.6 Charities

B

87. While the majority of charities are used for legitimate reasons, they can be used as vehicles for money laundering or the *financing of terrorism*.

88. Supervised persons acting for charities should consider their purpose and the organisations they are aligned with. If money is being received on the charity's behalf from an individual or a company donor, or a bequest from an estate, supervised persons should be alert to unusual circumstances, such as receipt of unexpectedly large sums of money.

Deleted: vehicles

Deleted: Firms

Deleted: its

Deleted: it is

Deleted: firms

Deleted: including

Deleted: Services

Deleted: need to submit

Deleted: tax practitioner

Deleted: be aware of

Deleted: boundaries between deliberate understatement or other

Deleted: and simple cases of error or genuine differences in the interpretation of tax law, and be able to identify conduct in relation to direct and indirect taxation which is punishable by the criminal law

Deleted: client

Deleted: the

Deleted: ;

Deleted: Effective from: 25 February 2020

6.4.7 Taxation matters

B

89. There are a number of tax offences which can give rise to the proceeds of crime and therefore require the submission of a SAR to the JFCU. A supervised person is not required to be an expert in criminal law, but they would be expected to recognise activity which might suggest the customer is involved in tax evasion.

90. There will, however, be no question of criminality where the customer has adopted in good faith, honestly and without mis-statement, a technical position with which a revenue authority disagrees.

91. The main areas where offences may arise in relation to direct tax are:

tax evasion, including making false returns (including supporting documents), accounts or financial statements or deliberate failure to submit returns and

deliberate refusal to correct known errors.

6.4.7.1 Innocent or negligent error

92. Where a customer indicates that they are unwilling, or refuse, to disclose an innocent mistake or negligent act to the competent authority in order to avoid paying the tax due, the customer appears to have formed a criminal intent and therefore a reporting obligation arises. The supervised person should also consider whether they can continue to act for the customer. This paragraph applies equally to potential customers for whom the supervised person has declined to act.

6.4.7.2 Intention to underpay

93. Customers may suggest that they will, in the future, underpay tax. This would be tax evasion and also a *money laundering* offence when it occurs. A supervised person can and should investigate whether the customer has understood their obligations under the relevant legislation. Should the customer's intention in this regard still remain in doubt, the supervised person should consider carefully whether they can commence or continue to act, and if in doubt should seek specialist legal advice. A SAR may well be required in such cases.

6.4.8 Observation of unlawful conduct

94. It should be borne in mind that for property to be criminal property, not only must it constitute a person's benefit from criminal conduct, but the alleged offender must know or suspect that the property constitutes such a benefit. This means, for example, that if someone has made an innocent error, even if such an error resulted in benefit and constituted a strict liability criminal offence, then the proceeds are not criminal property and no *money laundering* offence has arisen until the offender becomes aware of the error.

95. Examples of unlawful behaviour which may be observed, but which are not reportable as *money laundering*, are set out below:

offences where no proceeds or benefit results, such as the late filing of company accounts. However, supervised persons should be alert to the possibility that persistent failure to file accounts could represent part of a larger offence with proceeds, such as fraudulent trading or credit fraud involving the concealment of a poor financial position

mis-statements in tax returns, for whatever cause, but which are corrected before the date when the tax becomes due

attempted fraud where the attempt has failed and so no benefit has accrued (although this may still be an offence in some jurisdictions e.g. the UK) and

where a customer refuses to correct, or unreasonably delays in correcting, an innocent error that gave rise to proceeds and which was unlawful, firms should consider what that indicates about the client's intent and whether the property has now become criminal property.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Accountancy Sector¶
→ Part 1: Section 6: On-going Monitoring: Scrutiny of Transactions and Activity¶

Deleted: <#>It is not uncommon for tax practitioners to become aware of errors or omissions, in current or past years, from clients' tax returns, or any calculations or statements appertaining to any liability, or an underpayment of tax, for example, because a payment date has been missed. If the tax practitioner has no cause to doubt that these came about as a result of an innocent mistake or negligence, then they will not have formed a suspicion. However, in some cases the tax practitioner may form a suspicion that the original irregularity was criminal in nature and this will then become a reportable suspicion.¶
<#>Unwillingness or Refusal to Disclose to the Tax Authorities ¶

Deleted: client

Deleted: the matter to the tax authorities

Deleted: client

Deleted: the

Deleted: tax practitioner

Deleted: and should consult their professional body's guidance on such matters.

Deleted: clients

Deleted: tax practitioner

Deleted: <#>A Adjusting Subsequent Returns¶

<#>Where the legislation permits the correction of small errors by subsequent tax adjustments, and the original error was not attributable to any criminal conduct, then the adjustment itself will not give rise to the need to report, since no crime will have been committed.¶

Deleted: A client

Deleted: tax practitioner

Deleted: apply

Deleted: professional body's normal ethical guidance to persuade the client to comply with

Deleted: client's

Deleted: tax practitioner

Deleted: once there are proceeds of crime.

Deleted: <#>Offences Applicable to Value Added Tax¶

<#>A business client who is resident in the UK or another EU Member State will normally be subject to VAT. Guidance on the offences applicable to VAT, for example, fraudulent evasion of VAT and production or sending of false documents or statements, is set out in the supplementary guidance for the tax practitioner produced as an appendix to the AML/CFT guidance released by the UK Consultative Committee of Accountancy Bodies.¶
<#>Business Recovery or Receiverships¶

Deleted: <#>Resulting in Advice

Deleted: and may well result in advice to a client to correct an issue,

Deleted: firms

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ;

Deleted: client

Deleted: Effective from: 25 February 2020