

## 2 CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

### 2.1 Overview of Section

1. Corporate governance is the system by which enterprises are directed and controlled and their risks managed. For supervised persons, money laundering and the financing of terrorism are risks that must be managed in the same way as other business risks.
2. Under the general heading of corporate governance, this section considers:
  - › board responsibilities for the prevention and detection of *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism*,
  - › requirements for systems and controls, training and awareness
  - › the appointment of a MLCO and MLRO.
3. The AML/CFT Handbook describes a supervised person's general framework to combat *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism* as its systems and controls. The AML/CFT Handbook refers to the way in which those systems and controls are implemented into the day-to-day operation of a supervised person as its policies and procedures.
4. Where a supervised person is not a company but is, for example, a partnership, references in this section to "the Board" should be read as meaning the senior management function of that person, including the Board of a legal arrangement's governing body. In the case of a sole trader "the Board" will be the sole trader. In the case of an overseas company carrying on a supervised business in Jersey through a branch, "the Board" should be read as including the local management function of that branch in Jersey.

### 2.2 Measures to prevent money laundering and the financing of terrorism

#### Statutory requirements (paraphrased wording)

5. *In accordance with Article 37 of the Proceeds of Crime Law, a relevant person must take prescribed measures to prevent and detect money laundering and financing of terrorism. Failure to take such measures is a criminal offence and, where such an offence is proved to have been committed with the consent or connivance of, or to be attributable to neglect on the part of, a director or manager or officer of the relevant person, they too shall be deemed to have committed a criminal offence.*
6. *Article 37 enables the Minister for External Relations and Financial Services to prescribe by Order the measures that must be taken (including measures not to be taken) by a relevant person. These measures are established in the Money Laundering Order.*

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶  
¶

Deleted: 2

Moved (insertion) [1]

Deleted: For those law firms undertaking Schedule 2 business

Deleted: <#>On the basis that law firms tend to be partnerships, rather than this Handbook referring to the responsibilities of "the Board" (as is the case in the AML/CFT Handbook) it refers to the responsibilities of the senior management of the law firm. In the case of a sole trader, senior management will be the sole trader. ¶  
<#>A sole practitioner will not necessarily be a sole trader<sup>1</sup>. ¶

Deleted: <#>therefore

Deleted: › senior management

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ›

Deleted: risk management

Deleted: and for

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ›

Deleted: Money Laundering Compliance Officer (the

Deleted: ) and Money Laundering Reporting Officer (the

Deleted: ).

Deleted: This

Deleted: the requirements for

Deleted: law firm's

Deleted: of systems and controls

Deleted: "

Deleted: ". This

Deleted: to be

Deleted: law firm

Deleted: "

Deleted: ".

Deleted: 2.2

Deleted: 6. →

Deleted: the

Deleted: an

Deleted: , partner or member

Deleted: 7.

Deleted: Page of This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

## 2.3 Board responsibilities

### Overview

7. The key responsibilities of the Board, set out in further detail below, are to:
- identify the supervised person's money laundering and the financing of terrorism risks
  - ensure that its systems and controls are appropriately designed and implemented to manage those risks, and
  - ensure that sufficient resources are devoted to fulfilling these responsibilities.
8. The Board is assisted in fulfilling these responsibilities by a MLCO and MLRO. Larger or more complex supervised persons may also require dedicated risk and internal audit functions to assist in the assessment and management of money laundering and the financing of terrorism risk.

**Deleted:** senior management

**Deleted:** ,

**Deleted:** firm's

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** ,

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** ,

**Deleted:** achieving

**Deleted:** objectives.

**Deleted:** Senior management

**Deleted:** firms

### Statutory requirements (paraphrased wording)

9. Article 11(1) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to establish and maintain appropriate and consistent policies and procedures in respect of the person's financial services business, and financial services business carried on by a subsidiary, in order to prevent and detect money laundering and the financing of terrorism.

**Moved (insertion) [2]**

9.

10. Article 11(11) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to establish and maintain adequate procedures for monitoring compliance with, and testing the effectiveness of: (i) its policies and procedures; (ii) its measures to promote AML/CFT awareness; and (iii) its training of relevant employees (see Section 9 of this Handbook).

11. Articles 7 and 8 of the Money Laundering Order require that a relevant person appoints a MLCO and a MLRO.

**Deleted:** Senior management

**Deleted:** .

**Deleted:** Senior management

**Deleted:** ongoing

**Deleted:** its

**Deleted:** ,

**Deleted:** its

**Deleted:** its

**Deleted:** its clients

**Deleted:** its clients

**Deleted:** its range of

**Deleted:** ,

**Deleted:** it delivers

**Deleted:** Senior management's

**Deleted:** (Guidance on conducting the business risk assessment is set out in

**Deleted:** below).

**Deleted:** This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

### AML/CFT Codes of Practice

12. The Board must conduct and record a business risk assessment in respect of the supervised person. In particular, the Board must consider, on an on-going basis, the supervised person's risk appetite and the extent of the supervised person's exposure to money laundering and the financing of terrorism risks "in the round" or as a whole by reference to the supervised person's organisational structure, customers, the countries and territories with which those customers are connected, the products and services the supervised person provides and how those products and services are delivered. The assessment must consider the cumulative effect of risks identified, which may exceed the sum of each individual risk element. The Board's assessment must be kept up to date. (See Section 2.3.1).

13. On the basis of its business risk assessment, the Board must establish a formal strategy to counter *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism*. Where a supervised person forms part of a group operating outside the Island, that strategy may protect both its global reputation and its Jersey business.

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

**Deleted:** Senior Management

**Deleted:** Jersey law firm

14. Taking into account the conclusions of the business risk assessment and strategy, the Board must:

organise and control its affairs in a way that effectively mitigates the risks that it has identified, including areas that are complex; and

be able to demonstrate the existence of adequate and effective *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*) to counter *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism* (see Section 2.4).

**Deleted:** <#>Senior management must record the results of its business risk assessment and keep the assessment under review. ¶

**Deleted:** <#>, senior management

**Deleted:** <#> (i)

**Deleted:** the firm's

**Deleted:** (ii)

15. The Board must document its *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*) and clearly apportion responsibilities for countering *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism*, and, in particular, responsibilities of the *MLCO* and *MLRO* (see Sections 2.5 and 2.6).

**Deleted:** Senior management

16. The Board must assess both the effectiveness of, and compliance with, *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*) and take prompt action necessary to address any deficiencies. (See Sections 2.4.1 and 2.4.2).

**Deleted:** Senior management

**Deleted:** ),

17. The Board must consider what barriers (including cultural barriers) exist to prevent the operation of effective *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*) to counter *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism*, and must take effective measures to address them. (See Section 2.4.3).

**Deleted:** Senior management

18. The Board must notify the *JFSC* immediately in writing of any material failures to comply with the requirements of the Money Laundering Order or the *AML/CFT Handbook*.

**Deleted:** Senior management

**Deleted:** Commission

**Deleted:** of this Handbook. Refer to Part 3 of

**Deleted:** for further information

**Deleted:** 2.3.1

**Moved down [3]:** AML/CFT Codes of Practice ¶

**Moved (insertion) [4]**

**Deleted:** 22.

### 2.3.1 Business risk assessment

#### AML/CFT Codes of Practice

19. A supervised person must maintain appropriate *policies and procedures* to enable it, when requested by the *JFSC*, to make available to that authority a copy of its business risk assessment.

**Deleted:** firm

#### Guidance notes

**Moved down [5]:** Guidance notes ¶

20. A supervised person may extend its existing risk management systems to address *AML/CFT* risks. The detail and sophistication of these systems will depend on the supervised person's size and the complexity of the business it undertakes. Ways of incorporating a supervised person's business risk assessment will be governed by the size of the supervised person and how regularly compliance staff and the Board are involved in day-to-day activities.

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** money laundering and financing of terrorism

**Deleted:** firm's

**Deleted:** firms'

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** senior management

**Deleted:** Senior management

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** ,

**Deleted:** senior management

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

21. The Board of a supervised person may demonstrate that it has considered its exposure to *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism* risk by:

involving all members of the Board in determining the risks posed by *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism* within those areas for which they have responsibility

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

considering organisational factors that may increase the level of exposure to the risk of *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism*, e.g. outsourced aspects of regulated activities or compliance functions

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** business volumes and

**Deleted:** ;

considering the nature, scale and complexity of its business, the diversity of its operations (including geographical diversity), the volume and size of *its* transactions, and the degree of risk associated with each area of its operation

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** any

**Deleted:** ;

considering who its *customers* are and what they do

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** clients

**Deleted:** ;

considering whether any additional risks are posed by the countries *and* territories with which *its customers* are connected. Factors such as high levels of organised crime, increased vulnerabilities to corruption and inadequate frameworks to prevent and detect *money laundering* and *the financing of terrorism* will impact the risk posed by relationships connected with such countries and territories

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** or

**Deleted:** the firm or

**Deleted:** clients

**Deleted:** ;

considering the characteristics of the products and services that it offers and assessing the associated vulnerabilities posed by each product and service. For example:

**Deleted:** ;

a. products that allow a *customer* to “pool” third party funds will tend to be more vulnerable - because of the anonymity provided by the co-mingling of assets or funds belonging to several third parties by the *customer*

b. products such as standard current accounts are more vulnerable because they allow payments to be made to and from external parties, including cash transactions

c. conversely, those products that do not permit external party transfers or where redemption is permitted only to an account from which the investment is funded will be less vulnerable

considering the risk that is involved in placing reliance on *obliged persons* to apply *reliance identification measures*

**Deleted:** ;

considering how *it* establishes and delivers *products and services* to its *customers*. For example, risks are likely to be greater where relationships may be established remotely (non-face to face), or may be controlled remotely by the *customer* (straight-through processing of transactions)

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** the characteristics of its service areas and assessing the associated vulnerabilities posed by each service area. For example: ¶ assessing

considering the accumulation of risk for more complex *customers*.

**Deleted:** legal entities and structures might be used to mask the identities of the underlying beneficial owners; and ¶ considering how the firm

**Deleted:** clients

**Deleted:** ; and

**Deleted:** ;

22. When conducting a business risk assessment care should be taken not to focus too much on any single factor. All factors (including those identified by a National Risk Assessment or similar), as well as the wider picture (and cumulative risk) should be considered.

23. In developing a risk-based approach, supervised persons need to ensure that the Business Risk Assessment is readily comprehensible by the Board, other relevant employees and relevant third parties e.g. auditors and the JFSC.

24. In the case of a supervised person that is dynamic and growing, the Board may demonstrate that its business risk assessment is kept up to date where it is reviewed annually. In some other cases, this may be too often, e.g. a supervised person with stable products and services. In all cases, the Board may demonstrate that its business risk assessment is kept up to date where it is reviewed when events (internal and external) occur that may materially change money laundering and the financing of terrorism risk.

**Deleted:** law firm

**Deleted:** senior management

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** or smaller well-established business.

**Deleted:** senior management

25. Where a supervised person is subject to a regulatory code of practice

**Deleted:** This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

25. (such as the Trust Company Business Code of Practice) there is also an obligation for a wider, operational business risk assessment to be conducted. When preparing an AML/CFT business risk assessment or customer risk assessment, factors in this operational business risk assessment may be relevant. Therefore, a combined AML/CFT and operational business risk assessment may be appropriate.
26. Risks that are not normally considered to be specific AML/CFT risks may also be relevant to an AML/CFT business risk assessment, such for example, credit risk, tax risk, investor eligibility risk, cyber security etc.
27. It is likely that the business risk assessment will be conducted by the supervised person prior to any customer risk assessment. When a customer risk assessment is prepared the business risk assessment may need to be updated (for example, to take into account new risk factors or the supervised person's changing risk tolerance/appetite).

## 2.4 Adequate and effective systems and controls

### Overview

28. For systems and controls (including policies and procedures) to be adequate and effective in preventing and detecting money laundering and the financing of terrorism, they will need to be appropriate to the circumstances of the supervised person.

### Statutory requirements (paraphrased wording)

29. Article 11(1) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to establish and maintain appropriate and consistent policies and procedures in respect of the person's financial services business, and financial services business carried on by a subsidiary, in order to prevent and detect money laundering and financing of terrorism.
30. Parts 3, 3A, 4 and 5 of the Money Laundering Order set out the measures that are to be applied in respect of CDD, record-keeping and reporting.
31. Article 11(2) of the Money Laundering Order requires that policies and procedures established and maintained under Article 11(1) are appropriate and consistent having regard to the degree of risk of money laundering and the financing of terrorism taking into account: (i) the level of risk identified in a national or sector-specific risk assessment in relation to money laundering carried out in respect of Jersey; and (ii) the type of customers, business relationships, products and transactions with which the relevant person's business is concerned.
32. Article 11(3) lists a number of policies and procedures that must be established and maintained.
33. Article 11(9) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to take appropriate measures for the purpose of making employees whose duties relate to the provision of financial services ("relevant employees") aware of policies and procedures under Article 11(1) and of legislation in Jersey to counter money laundering and financing of terrorism. Article 11(10) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to provide relevant employees with training in the recognition and handling of transactions carried out by or on behalf of persons who are, or appear to be, engaged in money laundering or financing terrorism.

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

**Moved up [1]:** <#> ¶  
<#> A

**Deleted:** <#> deceased person's estate is very unlikely to be actively utilised by criminals as a means for laundering their funds; however, there is still a low risk of money laundering for those working in this area. ¶

<#> When winding up an estate, there is no blanket requirement that firms should be satisfied about the history of all of the funds which make up the estate under administration; however firms should be aware of the factors which can increase money laundering risks and consider the following: ¶

<#> where estate assets have been earned in a foreign jurisdiction, firms should be aware of the wide definition of criminal conduct in the *Proceeds of Crime Law*; and ¶

<#> where estate assets have been earned or are located in a higher risk territory, firms may need to make further checks about the source of those funds. ¶

<#> Firms should be alert from the outset and monitor throughout so that any disclosure can be considered as soon as knowledge or suspicion is formed and problems of delayed consent are avoided (see Section 8.4 of this Handbook). ¶

<#> Firms should bear in mind that an estate may include criminal property. An extreme example would be where the firm knows or suspects that the deceased person was accused or convicted of acquisitive criminal conduct during their lifetime. ¶

<#> If firms know, or suspect that the deceased person improperly claimed welfare benefits/allowances or had evaded the due payment of tax during their lifetime, criminal property will be included in the estate and so a money laundering disclosure may be required. ¶

<#> Relevant local laws will apply before assets can be released. For example, a grant of probate will normally be required before UK assets can be released. Firms should remain alert to warning signs, for example if the deceased or their business interests are based in a higher risk jurisdiction. ¶

<#> If the deceased person is from another jurisdiction and a lawyer is dealing with the matter in the home country, firms may find it helpful to ask that person for information about the deceased to gain some assurances that there are no suspicious circumstances surrounding the estate. The issue of the tax payable on the estate may depend on the jurisdiction concerned. **Charities** ¶

<#> While the majority of charities are used for legitimate reasons, they can be used as money laundering or the financing of terrorism vehicles. ¶

<#> Firms acting for charities should consider its purpose and the organisations it is aligned with. If money is being received on the charity's behalf from an individual or a company donor, or a bequest from an estate, firms should be alert to unusual circumstances, including large sums of money. ¶

<#> ¶

**Deleted:** 84.

**Deleted:** firm.

**Moved up [2]:** Article 11(1) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to establish and maintain appropriate and consistent policies and procedures in respect of the person's financial services business, and financial services business carried

**Deleted:** customer due diligence

**Deleted:** carried out

**Deleted:** (

**Deleted:** )

**Deleted:** the

**Deleted:** This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

34. Article 11(11) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to establish and maintain policies and procedures for: for monitoring compliance with, and testing the effectiveness of, (i) its policies and procedures; (ii) its measures to promote AML/CFT awareness; and (iii) its training of relevant employees (see Section 9 of this Handbook).

35. When considering the type and extent of testing to be carried out under Article 11(11), Article 11(12) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to have regard to the risk of money laundering or financing of terrorism that exists in respect of the relevant person's business, and matters that have an impact on that risk, such as the size and structure of the relevant person.

36. Article 11(8) requires that a relevant person operating through branches or subsidiaries, which carry on financial services business, must communicate its policies and procedures, maintained in accordance with Article 11(1), to those branches or subsidiaries. In addition, Article 11A requires group programmes for information sharing (see Section 2.7 of this Handbook).

#### AML/CFT Codes of Practice

37. A supervised person must establish and maintain appropriate and consistent systems and controls to prevent and detect money laundering and the financing of terrorism, that enable it to:

apply the policies and procedures referred to in Article 11 of the Money Laundering Order

apply CDD measures - in line with Sections 3 to 7 of this Handbook

report to the JFCU when it knows, suspects, or has reasonable grounds to know or suspect that another person is involved in money laundering or the financing of terrorism, including attempted transactions - in line with Section 8 of this Handbook

adequately screen relevant employees when they are initially employed, make employees aware of certain matters and provide training - in line with Section 9 of this Handbook

keep complete records that may be accessed on a timely basis - in line with Section 10 of this Handbook

liaise closely with the JFSC and the JFCU on matters concerning vigilance, systems and controls (including policies and procedures)

communicate policies and procedures to overseas branches and subsidiaries (subject to Article 10A(9) see section 1.4.2), and monitor compliance therewith and

monitor and review instances where exemptions are granted to policies and procedures, or where controls are overridden.

38. In addition to those listed in Article 11(3) of the Money Laundering Order, a supervised person's policies and procedures must include policies and procedures for:

customer acceptance (and rejection), including approval levels for higher risk customers

the use of transaction limits and management approval for higher risk customers

placing reliance on obliged persons

applying exemptions from customer due diligence requirements under Part 3A of the Money Laundering Order and enhanced CDD measures under Articles 15, 15A and 15B

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

**Deleted:** (i)...or monitoring compliance with, and testing the effectiveness of... (i) its policies and procedures; and ...ii) monitoring and testing the effectiveness of... its measures to promote AML/CFT awareness; and (iii) its training of relevant employees.

**Deleted:** , Article 11(12) ...requires a relevant person to have regard to the risk of money laundering or the

**Moved (insertion) [3]**

**Deleted:** 92.

**Moved up [4]:** ¶  
AML/CFT Codes of Practice

**Deleted:** ¶  
93. → ... firm

**Deleted:** > ...ply the policies and procedures referred to in Article 11 of the Money Laundering Order;

**Deleted:** > ...ply CDD measures - in line with Sections 3 to 7 of this Handbook

**Deleted:** > ...eport to the Joint Financial Crimes Unit (the JFCU)...when it knows, suspects, or has reasonable grounds to know or suspect that another person is involved in money laundering or the financing of terrorism, including attempted transactions (... in line with Section 8 of this Handbook);

**Deleted:** > ...adequately screen relevant employees when they are initially employed, make employees aware of certain matters and provide training - in line with Section 9 of this Handbook;

**Deleted:** > ...keep complete records that may be accessed on a timely basis - in line with Section 10 of this Handbook;

**Deleted:** > ...iaise closely with the Commission...FSC and the JFCU on matters concerning vigilance, systems and controls (including policies and procedures);

**Deleted:** > ...ommunicate policies and procedures to overseas branches and subsidiaries,...(subject to Article 10A(9) see section 1.4.2), and monitor compliance therewith;

**Deleted:** >

**Deleted:** 94. ...n addition to those listed in Article 11(3) of the Money Laundering Order, a firm's

**Deleted:** > client...ustomer acceptance (and rejection), including approval levels for higher risk clients;

**Deleted:** > ...he use of transactions...ransaction limits and management approval for higher risk clients;

**Deleted:** > ...lacing reliance on obliged persons;

**Deleted:** > ...plying exemptions from customer due diligence requirements under Part 3A of the Money Laundering Order and enhanced CDD measures under Articles 15, 15A and 15B;

**Deleted:** This version is effective from: 31 May 2021



keeping documents, data or information obtained under **identification** measures up to date and relevant, including changes in beneficial ownership and control

taking action in response to notices highlighting countries and territories in relation to which the FATF has called for the application of countermeasures or enhanced CDD measures

taking action to comply with *Terrorist Sanctions Measures* and the Directions Law.

39. In maintaining the required *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*), a **supervised person** must check that the *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*) are operating effectively and test that they are complied with.

#### 2.4.1 Effectiveness of systems and controls

##### Guidance notes

40. A **supervised person** may demonstrate that it checks that *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*) are adequate and operating effectively where **the Board** periodically considers the efficacy (capacity to have the desired outcome) of those *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*, and those in place at branches and in respect of subsidiaries) in light of:

changes to its business activities or business risk assessment

information published from time to time by the **JFSC** or **JFCU**, e.g. findings of supervisory and themed examinations and typologies

changes made or proposed in respect of new legislation, *AML/CFT Codes of Practice* issued under the Supervisory Bodies Law or guidance

resources available to comply with the *Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Legislation* and *AML/CFT Codes of Practice* issued under the *Supervisory Bodies Law*, in particular resources provided to the **MLCO** and **MLRO**, to apply enhanced CDD measures and to scrutinise transactions.

41. A **supervised person** may demonstrate that it checks that *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*) are operating effectively where **the Board** periodically considers the effect of those *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*, and those in place at branches and in respect of subsidiaries) in **light** of the information that is available to it, including:

reports presented by the **MLCO** and others (e.g., where appropriate, risk management and internal audit functions) on compliance matters and **MLRO** on reporting

reports summarising findings from supervisory and themed examinations and action taken or being taken to address recommendations

the number and percentage of **customers** that have been assessed by the **supervised person** as presenting a higher risk

the number of applications to establish business relationships or carry-out one-off transactions **which have been** declined due to CDD issues, along with reasons

the number of business relationships terminated due to CDD issues, along with reasons

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** *identifications*

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** › and

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** 95.

**Deleted:** firm

**Moved (insertion) [5]**

**Deleted:** *Guidance Notes* ¶

96. → Whilst the *Money Laundering Order*, and consequently this Handbook, only brings within its scope the business activities of law firms where they are carrying on Schedule 2 business, the primary *money laundering* legislation and the general offences and penalties cover all persons and all business activities within Jersey. Consequently, law firms undertaking a significant proportion of Schedule 2 business may wish to consider applying the *systems and* ...

**Moved down [6]:** *Guidance notes*

**Deleted:** law firm

**Deleted:** senior management

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** *Commission*

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** *money laundering* legislation, the

**Deleted:** *Order*

**Deleted:** law firm

**Deleted:** senior management

**Deleted:** lights

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** *dients*

**Deleted:** law firm

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

	the number of “existing <i>customers</i> ” that have still to be remediated under Section 4.7.2 of this Handbook	Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶ Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶
	details of failures by an <i>obliged person</i> or <i>customer</i> to provide information and evidence on demand and without delay under Articles 16, 16A and 17B-D of the Money Laundering Order, and action taken	Deleted: › Deleted: dient Deleted: ; Deleted: › Deleted: dient Deleted: 17 B Deleted: ; Deleted: › Deleted: ongoing Deleted: ; Deleted: › Deleted: the timelines Deleted: ; Deleted: › Deleted: ; Deleted: › Deleted: ; Deleted: › Deleted: ; Deleted: ›
	the number of alerts generated by automated <i>on-going</i> monitoring systems	
	the number of internal SARs made to the MLRO (or Deputy MLRO), the number of subsequent external SARs submitted to the JFCU, and <i>timeliness</i> of reporting (by business area if appropriate)	
	inquiries made by the JFCU, or production orders received, without issues having previously been identified by CDD or reporting <i>policies and procedures</i> , along with reasons	
	results of testing of awareness of <i>relevant employees</i> with <i>policies and procedures</i> and legislation	
	the number and scope of exemptions granted to <i>policies and procedures</i> , including at branches and subsidiaries, along with reasons.	
42.	The level of <i>systems and controls</i> , and the extent to which monitoring needs to take place will be affected by:	
	the <i>supervised person’s</i> size	Deleted: ›
	the nature, scale and complexity of its <i>operations</i>	Deleted: firm’s
	the number of different business types it is involved in	Deleted: ; Deleted: ›
	the types of services it offers and how it delivers those services	Deleted: and
	the type of business transactions it becomes involved in or advises on	Deleted: practice; Deleted: ›
	its overall risk profile.	
43.	<i>Areas which are required under the Money Laundering Order to be covered in systems and controls include (but are not limited to):</i>	Deleted: Issues which may Deleted: : Moved (insertion) [7] Moved (insertion) [8] Moved (insertion) [9]
	<i>the manner in which disclosures are to be made to the MLRO</i>	Deleted: › Deleted: ; Deleted: ›
	<i>the circumstances in which delayed CDD is permitted</i>	Moved up [9]: CDD requirements to be met for simplified, standard and enhanced due diligence
	<i>when outsourcing of CDD obligations or reliance on third parties will be permitted, and on what conditions</i>	Deleted: ; ¶ ›
	<i>CDD requirements to be met for simplified, standard and enhanced due diligence</i>	Moved up [8]: when outsourcing of CDD obligations or reliance on third parties will be permitted, and on what conditions
	<i>how the supervised person will restrict work being conducted for a customer where CDD has not been completed</i>	Deleted: ; ¶ › how the firm will restrict work being conducted on a file where CDD has not been completed; ¶ › the circumstances in which delayed CDD is permitted; ¶
44.	Issues which may also be covered in <i>systems and controls</i> include:	Deleted: ; Deleted: This version is effective from: 31 May 2021
	the level of personnel permitted to exercise discretion on the risk-based application of the Money Laundering Order and this Handbook, and under what circumstances	
	when cash payments will be accepted	



when payments will be accepted from or made to third parties

the supervised person's policy for applying legal professional privilege (LPP). Note that LPP is only applicable to lawyers – see Section 15.7.1 of this Handbook for specific guidance.

## 2.4.2 Testing of compliance with systems and controls

### Guidance notes

45. A supervised person may demonstrate that it has tested compliance with systems and controls (including policies and procedures) where the Board periodically considers the means by which compliance with its systems and controls (including policies and procedures) has been monitored, compliance deficiencies identified and details of action taken or proposed to address any such deficiencies.

46. A supervised person may demonstrate that it has tested compliance with systems and controls (including policies and procedures) where testing covers all of the policies and procedures maintained in line with Article 11(1) of the Money Laundering Order and the AML/CFT Code of Practice at paragraph 38 above, and in particular:

the application of simplified and enhanced CDD measures

reliance placed on obliged persons under Article 16 of the Money Laundering Order

action taken in response to notices highlighting countries and territories in relation to which the FATF has called for the application of countermeasures or enhanced CDD measures

action taken to comply with Terrorist Sanctions Measures and the Directions Law, and

the number or type of employees who have received training, the methods of training and the nature of any significant issues arising from the training.

## 2.4.3 Consideration of cultural barriers

### Overview

47. The implementation of systems and controls (including policies and procedures) for the prevention and detection of money laundering and the financing of terrorism does not remove the need for a supervised person to address cultural barriers that can prevent effective control. Human factors, such as the inter-relationships between different employees, and between employees and customers, can result in the creation of damaging barriers.

48. Unlike systems and controls (including policies and procedures), the prevailing culture of an organisation is intangible. As a result, its impact on a supervised person can sometimes be difficult to measure.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

Deleted: >

Deleted: ;

Deleted: >

Moved up [7]: the manner in which disclosures are to be made to the MLRO

Deleted: ; and ¶  
> the firm's

Deleted: 8.8

Deleted: ).

Deleted: ¶  
¶  
2.4.2

Moved down [10]: Guidance notes ¶

Deleted: law firm

Deleted: senior management

Deleted: law firm

Deleted: 94

Deleted: >

Deleted: ;

Deleted: >

Deleted: ;

Deleted: >

Deleted: ;

Deleted: >

Deleted: ;

Deleted: >

Deleted: 2.4.3

Deleted: obviate

Deleted: firm

Deleted: clients

Deleted: the firm

Deleted: This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

## Guidance notes

49. A supervised person may demonstrate that it has considered whether cultural barriers might hinder the effective operation of *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*) to prevent and detect *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism* where the Board considers the prevalence of the following factors:
- an unwillingness on the part of employees to subject high value (and therefore important) customers to effective *CDD* measures for commercial reasons
  - pressure applied by management or customer relationship managers outside Jersey upon employees in Jersey to transact without first conducting all relevant *CDD*
  - undue influence exerted by relatively large customers in order to circumvent *CDD* measures
  - excessive pressure applied on employees to meet aggressive revenue-based targets, or where employee or management remuneration or bonus schemes are exclusively linked to revenue-based targets
  - an excessive desire on the part of employees to provide a confidential and efficient customer service
  - design of the customer risk classification system in a way that avoids rating any customer as presenting a higher risk
  - the inability of employees to understand the commercial rationale for business relationships, resulting in a failure to identify non-commercial and therefore potential *money laundering* and *financing of terrorism* activity
  - negative handling by managerial staff of queries raised by more junior employees regarding unusual, complex or higher risk activity and transactions
  - an assumption on the part of more junior employees that their concerns or suspicions are of no consequence
  - a tendency for line managers to discourage employees from raising concerns due to lack of time and/or resources, preventing any such concerns from being addressed satisfactorily
  - dismissal of information concerning allegations of criminal activities on the grounds that the customer has not been successfully prosecuted or lack of public information to verify the veracity of allegations
  - the familiarity of employees with certain customers resulting in unusual or higher risk activity and transactions within such relationships not being identified as such
  - little weight or significance is attributed to the role of the *MLCO* or *MLRO*, and little cooperation between these post-holders and customer-facing employees
  - actual practices applied by employees do not align with *policies and procedures*
  - employee feedback on problems encountered applying *policies and procedures* is ignored
  - non-attendance of senior employees at training sessions on the basis of a mistaken belief that they cannot learn anything new or because they have too many other competing demands on their time.

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

**Moved down [11]:** Guidance notes ¶

**Moved (insertion) [6]**

**Deleted:** 105.

**Deleted:** firm... supervised person may demonstrate that it has considered whether cultural barriers might hinder the effective operation of *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*) to prevent and detect *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism* where senior management

**Deleted:** > ...n unwillingness on the part of fee earners or other employees... to subject high value (and therefore important) clients... customers to effective *CDD* measures for commercial reasons;

**Deleted:** > ...ressure applied by senior ...anagement or fee earners... customer relationship managers outside Jersey upon employees in Jersey to transact without first conducting all relevant *CDD*;

**Deleted:** > ...ndue influence exerted by relatively large clients... customers in order to circumvent *CDD* measures;

**Deleted:** > ...xcessive pressure applied on fee earners... employees to meet aggressive revenue-based targets, or where employee or fee earner...anagement remuneration or bonus schemes are exclusively linked to revenue-based target

**Deleted:** > ...n excessive desire on the part of fee earners... employees to provide a confidential and efficient client... customer service;

**Deleted:** > ...esign of the client... customer risk classification system in a way that avoids rating any customer as presenting a higher risk;

**Deleted:** > ...he inability of employees to understand the commercial rationale for client... business relationships, resulting in a failure to identify non-commercial and therefore potential *money laundering* and the ...*financing of terrorism* activity;

**Deleted:** > ...egative handling by senior management or fee earners... anagerial staff of queries raised by more junior employees regarding unusual, complex or higher risk activity and transactions;

**Deleted:** > ...n assumption on the part of more junior employees that their concerns or suspicions are of no consequence;

**Deleted:** > ... tendency for management... line managers to discourage employees from raising concerns due to lack of time

**Deleted:** > ... dismissal of information concerning allegations of criminal activities on the grounds that the client... customer has

**Deleted:** > ...he familiarity of fee earners or other ... employees with certain clients... customers resulting in unusual, complex...

**Deleted:** > ...ttle weight or significance is attributed to the role of the *MLCO* or *MLRO*, and little co-operation... cooperation

**Deleted:** > ...ctual practices applied by employees do not align with *policies and procedures*;

**Deleted:** > ...mployee feedback on problems encountered applying *policies and procedures* are...s ignored;

**Deleted:** > ...on-attendance of partners or other members of management

**Deleted:** 2.4.4

**Deleted:** This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

## 2.4.4 Outsourcing

### Overview

50. In a case where a supervised person outsources a particular activity, it bears the ultimate responsibility for the duties undertaken in its name. This will include the requirement to determine that the external party has in place satisfactory *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*), and that those *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*) are kept up to date to reflect changes in requirements. See the table below for details of which CDD activities may be outsourced.

<u>CDD</u>	<u>Identification measures</u>	<u>Risk assessment</u>	
		<u>ID customer</u>	
		<u>ID third parties</u>	
		<u>ID person acting for customer</u>	<u>Verify authority to act</u>
		<u>Where customer not individual:</u>	<u>Understand ownership/control structure</u>
			<u>ID beneficial owners/controllers</u>
	<u>On-going monitoring</u>	<u>Obtain information on purpose/nature</u>	
		<u>Scrutinising transactions/activity</u>	
		<u>Keep documents/information up-to-date</u>	
		<u>CDD is always the ultimate responsibility of the supervised person</u>	
		<u>These activities may be outsourced</u>	

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** ensure

51. Depending on the nature and size of a supervised person, the roles of the MLCO and MLRO may require additional support and resourcing. Where a supervised person elects to bring in additional support, or to delegate areas of the MLCO or MLRO functions to external parties, the MLCO or MLRO will remain directly responsible for their respective role, and the Board will remain responsible for overall compliance with the Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Legislation (and by extension, also this Handbook). Note that the AML/CFT Codes of Practice at Paragraphs 66 and 79 below provide that the role of the MLCO and MLRO must be undertaken by an employee of the supervised person based in Jersey, unless the circumstances set out in the footnotes to those paragraphs apply.

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** resources

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** the

**Deleted:** roles

**Deleted:** senior management

**Deleted:** money laundering legislation and the

**Deleted:** Order

52. The JFSC has also issued an Outsourcing Policy and guidance note for supervised persons, which outlines its own set of requirements and obligations in respect of outsourcing.

### AML/CFT Codes of Practice

53. All supervised persons must comply with the JFSC's Outsourcing Policy and guidance note.

**Deleted:** This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

54. A supervised person must consider the effect that outsourcing has on *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism* risk, in particular where a *MLCO* or *MLRO* is provided with additional support from other parties, either from within group or externally.

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

**Deleted:** firm

55. A supervised person must assess possible *money laundering* or the *financing of terrorism* risk associated with outsourced functions, record its assessment, and monitor any risk on an on-going basis.

**Deleted:** firm

56. Where an outsourced activity is a supervised business activity, then a supervised person must be satisfied that the provider of the outsourced services has in place *policies and procedures* that are consistent with those required under the Money Laundering Order and, by association, this Handbook.

**Deleted:** financial services or Schedule 2

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** ensure

57. In particular, a supervised person must be satisfied that knowledge, suspicion, or reasonable grounds for knowledge or suspicion of *money laundering* or *financing of terrorism* activity will be reported by the provider of the outsourced service to the *MLRO* (or *deputy MLRO*) of the supervised person.

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** ensure

**Deleted:** the

**Deleted:** are

**Deleted:** third party

**Deleted:** firm's

**Deleted:** ).

**Deleted:** 2.5

**Moved down [12]:** Overview ¶

## 2.5 The Money Laundering Compliance Officer (MLCO)

### Overview

58. The *Money Laundering Order* requires a supervised person to appoint an individual as *MLCO*, and tasks that individual with the function of monitoring its compliance with legislation in Jersey relating to *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism* and *AML/CFT Codes of Practice* issued under the Supervisory Bodies Law. The objective of this requirement is to require supervised persons to clearly demonstrate the means by which they ensure compliance with the requirements of the same.

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** , and reporting thereon to senior management.

**Deleted:** firms

59. The *Money Laundering Order* also requires a supervised person to maintain adequate procedures for:

**Deleted:** firm

- monitoring compliance with, and testing the effectiveness of, *policies and procedures* and
- monitoring and testing the effectiveness of measures to raise awareness and training. When considering the type and extent of compliance testing to be carried out, a supervised person shall have regard to the risk of *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism* and matters that have an impact on risk, such as size and structure of the supervised person's business.

**Deleted:** (i)

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** (ii)

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** firm's

60. The *MLCO* may have a functional reporting line, e.g. to a group compliance function.

61. The *Money Laundering Order* does not rule out the possibility that the *MLCO* may also have other responsibilities. To the extent that the *MLCO* is also responsible for the development of *systems and controls* (and *policies and procedures*) as well as monitoring subsequent compliance with those *systems and controls* (and *policies and procedures*), some additional independent assessment of compliance will be needed from time to time to address this potential conflict. Such an independent assessment is unlikely to be needed where the role of the *MLCO* is limited to actively monitoring the development and implementation of such *systems and controls*.

**Deleted:** including

**Deleted:** including

**Deleted:** This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

## Statutory requirements (paraphrased wording)

62. Article 7 of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to appoint a MLCO to monitor whether the enactments in Jersey relating to money laundering and financing of terrorism and AML/CFT Codes of Practice are being complied with. The same person may be appointed as both MLCO and MLRO.

63. Article 7(2A) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to ensure that the individual appointed is of an appropriate level of seniority and has timely access to all records that are necessary or expedient.

64. Article 7(6) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to notify the JFSC in writing within one month when a person is appointed as, or ceases to be, a MLCO. However, Article 10 provides that the JFSC may grant exemptions from this notification requirement, by way of notice.

65. Article 7 of the Money Laundering Order recognises that a relevant person that is also a regulated person may have notified the JFSC of the appointment or cessation of a MLCO under other legislation. If so, a duplicate notification is not required under the Money Laundering Order.

## AML/CFT Codes of Practice

66. A supervised person must appoint a MLCO that:

is employed by the supervised person or an enterprise in the same financial group as the supervised person<sup>2</sup>

is based in Jersey<sup>3</sup>

has sufficient experience and skills.

67. A supervised person must ensure that the MLCO:

has appropriate independence, in particular from customer-facing, business development and systems and controls development roles

reports regularly and directly to the Board and has a sufficient level of authority within the supervised person so that the Board reacts to and acts upon reports made by the MLCO

has sufficient resources, including sufficient time and (if appropriate) a deputy MLCO and compliance support staff

<sup>2</sup> In the case of a supervised person that carries on the business of being a functionary, recognized fund, or unclassified fund or is a Category B insurance permit holder, a managed bank, or other managed entity; has no employees of its own; and is administered by a person carrying on a supervised business, it is acceptable for an employee of the administrator to be appointed by the supervised person as its MLCO.

<sup>3</sup> In the case of a supervised person that is a Category A insurance business permit holder with no employees of its own in Jersey, it is acceptable to appoint an employee outside Jersey. In the case of a supervised person that is carrying on a money service business and has no employees of its own in Jersey, it is acceptable for the supervised person to appoint an employee outside Jersey as its MLCO, provided the employee is based in an equivalent jurisdiction.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

Deleted: 116.

Deleted: the

Deleted: <#>Article 7(2A) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to ensure that the individual appointed is of an appropriate level of seniority and has timely access to all records that are necessary or expedient. ¶  
<#>Article 7(6) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to notify the ¶  
<#>Commission in writing within one month when a person is approved as, or ceases to be a MLCO. However, Article 10 provides that the Commission may grant exemptions from this notification requirement by way of notice.

Deleted: law firm

Deleted: ,

Deleted: firm;

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ; and

Deleted: ,

Deleted: law firm

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ,

Deleted: client

Deleted: system

Deleted: control

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ,

Deleted: senior management

Deleted: firm

Deleted: senior management

Deleted: ;

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ; and

Deleted: This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

is fully aware of both their and the supervised person's obligations under the Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Legislation and AML/CFT Codes of Practice issued under the Supervisory Bodies Law.

68. In the event that the position of MLCO is expected to fall vacant, to comply with the *statutory requirement* to have an individual appointed to the office of MLCO at all times, a supervised person must take action to appoint a member of the Board (or other appropriate member of senior management) to the position on a temporary basis.

69. If temporary circumstances arise where the supervised person has a limited or inexperienced money laundering compliance resource, it must ensure that this resource is supported as necessary.

70. When considering whether it is appropriate to appoint the same person as *MLCO* and *MLRO*, a supervised person must have regard to:

the respective demands of the two roles, taking into account the size and nature of the supervised person's activities; and

whether the individual will have sufficient time and resources to fulfil both roles effectively.

#### Guidance notes

71. A supervised person may demonstrate that its *MLCO* is monitoring whether enactments and *AML/CFT Codes of Practice* issued under the Supervisory Bodies Law are being complied with where they:

regularly monitor and test compliance with *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*) in place to prevent and detect *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism* – supported as necessary by a compliance or internal audit function

report periodically, as appropriate, to the Board on compliance with the supervised person's *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*) and issues that need to be brought to its attention

respond promptly to requests for information made by the JFSC and the JFCU.

72. In a case where the *MLCO* is also responsible for the development of *systems and controls* (including *policies and procedures*) in line with evolving requirements, a supervised person may demonstrate that the *MLCO* has appropriate independence where such *systems and controls* are subject to periodic independent scrutiny.

## 2.6 The Money Laundering Reporting Officer (MLRO)

### Overview

73. Whilst the *Money Laundering Order* requires one individual to be appointed as *MLRO*, it recognises that, given the size and complexity of operations of many enterprises, it may be appropriate to designate additional persons (*deputy MLROs*) to whom *SARs* may be made.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

Deleted: ›

Deleted: firm's

Deleted: money laundering legislation, the

Deleted: Order

Deleted: firm

Deleted: an

Deleted: firm

Deleted: firm

Deleted: ›

Deleted: firm's

Deleted: ›

Moved (insertion) [10]

Deleted: ¶  
¶  
Guidance Notes ¶

Deleted: firm

Deleted: he or she:

Deleted: ›

Deleted: monitors

Deleted: tests

Deleted: ›

Deleted: › reports

Deleted: senior management

Deleted: firm's

Deleted: › and

Deleted: › responds

Deleted: Commission

Deleted: firm

Deleted: 2.6

Moved (insertion) [12]

Deleted: ¶  
Overview ¶  
126.

Deleted: firms

Deleted: This version is effective from: 31 May 2021



## Statutory requirements (paraphrased wording)

74. Article 8 of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to appoint a MLRO. The MLRO's function is to receive and consider internal SARs in accordance with internal reporting procedures. The same person may be appointed as both MLCO and MLRO.

75. Article 8(2A) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to ensure that the individual appointed is of an appropriate level of seniority and has timely access to all records that are necessary or expedient.

76. Article 8(4) of the Money Laundering Order requires a relevant person to notify the JFSC in writing within one month when a person is appointed as, or ceases to be, a MLRO. However, Article 10 provides that the JFSC may grant exemptions from this notification requirement, by way of notice.

77. Article 8 of the Money Laundering Order recognises that a relevant person that is also a regulated person may have notified the JFSC of the appointment or cessation of a MLRO under other legislation. If so, a duplicate notification is not required under the Money Laundering Order.

78. Article 9 of the Money Laundering Order allows a relevant person to designate one or more deputy MLROs, in addition to the MLRO, to whom internal SARs may be made.

## AML/CFT Codes of Practice

79. A supervised person must appoint a MLRO that:

is employed by the supervised person or enterprise in the same financial group as the supervised person<sup>4</sup>

is based in Jersey<sup>5</sup>

has sufficient experience and skills.

80. A supervised person must ensure that the MLRO:

has appropriate independence, in particular from customer-facing and business development roles;

has a sufficient level of authority within the supervised person

has sufficient resources, including sufficient time, and (if appropriate) is supported by deputy MLROs

is able to raise issues directly with the Board, and

<sup>4</sup> In the case of a supervised person that carries on the business of being a functionary, recognized fund, or unclassified fund, or is a Category B insurance permit holder, a managed bank, or other managed entity; has no employees of its own; and is administered by a person carrying on supervised business that is a supervised person, it is acceptable for an employee of the administrator to be appointed by the supervised person as its MLRO.

<sup>5</sup> In the case of a supervised person that is a Category A insurance business permit holder with no employees of its own in Jersey, it is acceptable to appoint an employee outside Jersey. In the case of a supervised person that is carrying on a money service business and has no employees of its own in Jersey, it is acceptable for the supervised person to appoint an employee outside Jersey as its MLRO, provided the employee is based in an equivalent jurisdiction.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

Deleted: firm

Deleted: ¶  
Commission

Deleted: Commission

Deleted: persons (

Deleted: ),

Moved (insertion) [13]

Deleted: AML/CFT Codes of Practice ¶

Deleted: firm

Deleted: >

Deleted: firm;

Deleted: >

Deleted: > and

Deleted: >

Deleted: firm

Deleted: >

Deleted: client

Deleted: ;

Deleted: >

Deleted: firm;

Deleted: >

Deleted: >

Deleted: >

Deleted: senior management; ¶  
> maintains a record of all enquiries received from law enforcement authorities

Deleted: records relating to all internal and external suspicious activity reports (see Section 10 of this Handbook);

Deleted: This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

is fully aware of both their and the supervised person's obligations under the Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Legislation and AML/CFT Codes of Practice issued under the Supervisory Bodies Law.

81. Where a supervised person has appointed one or more deputy MLROs the requirements set out above for the MLRO must also be applied to any deputy MLROs.

82. Where a supervised person has appointed one or more deputy MLROs, it must ensure that the MLRO:

keeps a record of all deputy MLROs

provides support to, and routinely monitors the performance of, each deputy MLRO

considers and determines that SARs are being handled in an appropriate and consistent manner.

83. In the event that the position of MLRO is expected to fall vacant, to comply with the statutory requirement to have an individual appointed to the office of MLRO at all times, a supervised person must take action to appoint a member of the Board (or other appropriate member of senior management) to the position on a temporary basis.

84. If temporary circumstances arise where a supervised person has a limited or inexperienced money laundering reporting resource, it must ensure that this resource is supported as necessary.

#### Guidance notes

85. A supervised person may demonstrate that its MLRO (and any deputy MLRO) is receiving and considering SARs in accordance with Article 21 of the Money Laundering Order where, among other things, its MLRO:

maintains a record of all requests for information from law enforcement authorities and records relating to all internal and external SARs (see Section 8 of this Handbook)

manages relationships effectively post disclosure to avoid tipping off any external parties

acts as the liaison point with the JFSC and the JFCU and in any other external enquiries in relation to money laundering or the financing of terrorism.

86. A supervised person may demonstrate routine monitoring of the performance of any deputy MLROs by requiring the MLRO to review:

samples of records containing internal SARs and supporting information and documentation

decisions of the deputy MLRO concerning whether to make an external SAR

the bases for decisions taken.

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** business'

**Deleted:** money laundering

**Deleted:** the Money Laundering Order (and by extension, also this Handbook); ¶  
› ensures that relationships are managed effectively post disclosure to avoid tipping off any third parties; and ¶  
› acts as

**Deleted:** liaison point with the Commission and the JFCU and in any other third party enquiries in relation to money laundering or the financing of terrorism.

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** › it must ensure that

**Deleted:** are

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** › and

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** an

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** the firm

**Moved (insertion) [11]**

**Deleted:** Guidance Notes ¶

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** inter alia

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** ›;

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** › and

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** Commission

**Deleted:** party

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** ›;

**Deleted:** ›

**Deleted:** › and ›

**Deleted:** This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

## 2.7 Financial groups

### Overview

87. A Financial Group of which a supervised person is a member must maintain a group programme for the sharing of AML/CFT information.
88. In addition, as explained in Section 1.4.3, where a company incorporated in Jersey (a supervised person) carries on a supervised business through an overseas branch, it must comply with AML/CFT Codes of Practice issued under the Supervisory Bodies Law in respect of that business, irrespective of whether it also carries on supervised business in or from within Jersey.
89. In practice, the above only applies to supervised persons that meet the definition of a financial group, this includes a requirement that a parent company or other legal person exercise control over every member of that group for the purposes of applying group supervision<sup>6</sup>.

### Statutory requirements (paraphrased wording)

90. Article 11A of the Money Laundering Order applies to a financial group of which a relevant person is a member.
91. Article 11A(2) of the Money Laundering Order requires a financial group to maintain a programme to prevent and detect money laundering and financing of terrorism that includes:
- › Policies and procedures by which a relevant person within a financial group, which carries on financial services business or equivalent business, may disclose information to a member of the same financial group, but only where such disclosure is appropriate for the purpose of preventing and detecting money laundering or managing money laundering risks
  - › Adequate safeguards for the confidentiality and use of any such information
  - › The monitoring and management of compliance with, and the internal communication of, such policies and procedures (including the appointment of a compliance officer for the financial group)
  - › The screening of employees.
92. Under Article 11A(3) of the Money Laundering Order "information" includes the following:
- › Information or evidence obtained from applying identification measures
  - › Customer, account and transaction information
  - › Information relating to the analysis of transactions or activities that are considered unusual.

<sup>6</sup> Group supervision refers to (a) the core principles for effective banking supervision published by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision; (b) the Objectives and Principles of Securities Regulation issued by the International Organisation of Securities Commissions; or (c) the Insurance Supervisory Principles issued by the International Association of Insurance Supervisors.

Deleted: AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

Deleted: 2.7

Deleted: firm

Deleted: financial services

Deleted: financial services

Deleted: <#>As explained in Section 1.4.2 and 1.4.3, in practice, the above may not apply to all law firms carrying on Schedule 2 business on the basis that many law firms currently registered under the Supervisory Bodies Law are either sole practitioners or Jersey customary law partnerships (and therefore not legal persons). ¶

<#>Statutory Requirements ¶

<#>Article 11A of the Money Laundering Order applies to a financial group of which a law firm is a member. ¶

<#>Article 11A of the Money Laundering Order requires a financial group to maintain a programme to prevent and detect money laundering and the financing of terrorism that includes: ¶

<#> policies and procedures by which a law firm within a financial group, which carries on financial services business or equivalent business, may disclose information to a member of the same financial group, but only where such disclosure is appropriate for the purpose of preventing and detecting money laundering or managing money laundering risks; ¶

<#> adequate safeguards for the confidentiality and use of any such information; ¶

<#> the monitoring and management of compliance with, and the internal communication of, such policies and procedures (including the appointment of a compliance officer for the financial group); and › the screening of employees. ¶

<#>Under Article 11A(3) of the Money Laundering Order "information" includes the following: ¶

<#> information or evidence obtained from applying identification measures; ¶

<#> customer, account and transaction information; and ¶

<#> information relating to the analysis of transactions or activities that are considered unusual.

Moved up [13]: AML/CFT Codes of Practice ¶

Deleted: This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

## AML/CFT Codes of Practice

93. A *supervised* person that is a Jersey incorporated company must ensure that any subsidiary applies measures that are at least equivalent to *the AML/CFT Codes of Practice* in respect of any *supervised business* carried on outside Jersey by that subsidiary.

94. A *supervised* person who:

*is registered, incorporated or otherwise established under Jersey law, but who is not a Jersey incorporated company, and*

*carries on a supervised business in or from within Jersey*

*must apply measures that are at least equivalent to the AML/CFT Codes of Practice in respect of any supervised business carried on by that person through an overseas branch/office.*

95. A person who:

*is registered, incorporated or otherwise established under Jersey law, but who is not a Jersey incorporated company, and*

*carries on a supervised business in or from within Jersey*

*must ensure that any subsidiary applies measures that are at least equivalent to the AML/CFT Codes of Practice in respect of any supervised business carried on outside Jersey by that person.*

96. Where overseas legislation prohibits compliance with an AML/CFT Code of Practice (or measures that are at least equivalent) then the AML/CFT Codes of Practice do not apply and the JFSC must be informed that this is the case. In such circumstances, a *supervised person* must take other reasonable steps to effectively deal with the risk of *money laundering* and the *financing of terrorism*.

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

**Deleted:** financial services

**Deleted:** is a legal person

**Deleted:** ;

**Deleted:** ,

**Deleted:** financial services

**Deleted:** ,

**Deleted:** apply

**Deleted:** financial services

**Deleted:** through an overseas branch/office.

**Deleted:** Commission

**Deleted:** firm

**Deleted:** This version is effective from: 31 May 2021

**Deleted:** AML/CFT Handbook for the Legal Sector ¶  
Part 1: Section 2 - Corporate Governance ¶

**Deleted:** This version is effective from: 31 May 2021